

ATTACHMENT 25

DECLARATION OF JAY M. BRADBURY

BEFORE THE GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- - - - -  
In the Matter of: :  
Investigation into Development of :  
Electronic Interfaces for BellSouth's: Docket No. 8354-U  
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS :  
- - - - -

Hearing Room 110  
244 Washington Street  
Atlanta, Georgia

Tuesday, May 8, 2001

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing  
pursuant to Notice at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

LAUREN MCDONALD, JR., Chairman  
STAN WISE, Vice Chairman  
ROBERT BAKER, Commissioner  
ROBERT DURDEN, Commissioner  
DAVID BURGESS, Commissioner

\* \* \*

1 the industry that the more orders -- order types that can be  
2 eligible for flow-through, the more readily the bonding --  
3 electronic bonding that takes place between the parties can  
4 take place and facilitate larger volumes of order processing  
5 because fewer human beings need to get involved, and the  
6 overall flow of business will be facilitated.

7 Q In preparing this report, did KCI review  
8 BellSouth's systems for flow-through of BellSouth orders  
9 placed with BellSouth?

10 A (Witness Weeks) Yeah, I think I'm struggling with  
11 the question. Could you rephrase it?

12 Q Okay, let me rephrase. In doing work to develop  
13 this report, did KCI review the electronic systems through  
14 which orders placed with BellSouth would flow through?

15 A (Witness Weeks) We didn't review the systems, per  
16 se. If by that you mean performing extensive reviews and  
17 evaluations of the software code and so on on the BellSouth  
18 side, we did look at BellSouth documentation, the things  
19 that would be commercially available to a CLEC, those sorts  
20 of things. So I'm not quite sure the level at which you  
21 meant "review the systems."

22 Q Did you do a -- did KCI did a comparison between  
23 the flow-through achieved with orders placed with BellSouth  
24 versus the flow-through that would be available to CLEC  
25 orders?

1           A       (Witness Weeks)  It's our understanding -- we're  
2   not aware of a retail electronic bonding interface.  So the  
3   notion of flow-through on electronic bonded interface, we  
4   wouldn't believe there was a retail analog for that, so we  
5   wouldn't have made that analysis.

6           Q       Now, the Commission requested that an audit be  
7   performed of flow-through performance data; do you recall  
8   that?

9           A       (Witness Weeks)  Yes.

10          Q       And did KCI perform an audit of BellSouth's flow-  
11   through performance data?

12          A       (Witness Weeks)  Yes.  That is the report that you  
13   referenced earlier.

14          Q       Well, let me ask you to turn to the second and  
15   third page of that report, if you would, please.  And I'm  
16   looking down at the bottom of Page 2 and onto the top of  
17   Page 3.  At the bottom of Page 2 it says KCI, quote, "has  
18   not independently verified to the accuracy or completeness  
19   of the information provided.  Accordingly, KCI expresses no  
20   opinion on such data." Do you see that language?

21          A       (Witness Weeks)  That's correct.

22          Q       So is it a fair interpretation of that language  
23   that KCI did not independently verify information received  
24   from BellSouth for purposes of performing this flow-through  
25   analysis?

1           A       (Witness Weeks) I think it's a more accurate  
2       characterization to say that we did in many cases verify  
3       information in the flow-through reports by comparing and  
4       tracing and tracking information from the pseudo-CLEC and  
5       its transactions, and so there would be cases where we in  
6       fact did do validation; there would be other cases where  
7       representations were made to us by the company which we did  
8       not subject to any kind of validation.

9           Q       And would you give the Commission an example of  
10      the type of information that was not validated.

11          A       (Witness Weeks) Yes. There would have been  
12      certain MNPs in the LCSC, for example, that we took at face  
13      value, the company's representation of how they operated.  
14      And we would just have examined the result that came out of  
15      that process, without actually verifying the company's  
16      statements about how those MNPs worked.

17          Q       Now, if you turn over to the third page, it says,  
18      "KCI has no conducted an audit or review of the historical  
19      data provided to us in accordance with generally accepted  
20      auditing procedures and/or standards promulgated by the  
21      American Institute of Certified Public Accountants." Do you  
22      see that?

23          A       (Witness Weeks) Yes, I do.

24          Q       Is it fair to say that this statement that I just  
25      read from Page 3 of the report states that KCI did not

1 perform an audit of the historical data?

2 A (Witness Weeks) It's our understanding of the  
3 order that it asks for a thorough evaluation as opposed to  
4 an audit, because at the time this work was undertaken, KPMG  
5 Consulting was a portion of KPMG, LLP. We felt that we  
6 needed to make clear disclaimer that this was not an audit  
7 in the AICPA sense of an audit, because the word "audit"  
8 is used rather loosely sometimes. And so we felt it  
9 necessary to distinguish the kind of activity we were doing,  
10 which was a thorough evaluation, from an audit conducted for  
11 financial statement purposes.

12 Q Now, the order from this Commission talks about  
13 the performance of a, quote, "full audit of the percent  
14 flow-through service requests," unquote. Given the language  
15 on the bottom of Page 2 of the report and the top of Page 3  
16 of the report that we've been talking about, is it your  
17 opinion that KCI performed a, quote, "full audit," unquote?

18 A (Witness Weeks) We believe so; yes.

19 MR. LEMMER: Mr. Burgess, I'm handing out a  
20 document that I'd like to be identified as Exhibit 1 for  
21 this hearing.

22 (The documents referred to  
23 were marked for identification  
24 as AT&T Exhibit #1.)

25 BY MR. LEMMER:

1 Q And I would ask, do you -- do you have a copy in  
2 front of you of what has been identified as Exhibit 1?

3 A (Witness Weeks) Yes, we do.

4 Q And do you recognize this document?

5 A (Witness Frey) Yes.

6 A (Witness Weeks) Yes.

7 Q And there is a -- it says, "Prepared by Mr. Steve  
8 Strickland." Is Mr. Strickland...

9 A (Witness Weeks) Yeah. We're going to ask Mr.  
10 Strickland to step to the microphone so that he can  
11 facilitate the answers to your question.

12 Q And, Mr. Strickland, is it a fair generalization  
13 of what's in front of you, identified as Exhibit #1, that  
14 this is a step that you performed to evaluate the accuracy  
15 of BellSouth data relating to flow-through?

16 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

17 Q And if you look on -- and I'm looking at the first  
18 page, and the very first line of numbers, says, quote, "From  
19 raw data," unquote. Do you see that?

20 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

21 Q What does that line represent?

22 A (Witness Strickland) What that represents is the  
23 results that we obtained when we created calculations and  
24 ran our own calculations based on the business rules that we  
25 understood for flow-through, against data captured by

1 BellSouth at the very earliest stages in their process.

2 Q And the raw data that's reflected in that first  
3 line, is that the information that you see beginning on  
4 what's labeled Page 2 on the bottom, that runs for several  
5 pages?

6 A (Witness Strickland) No.

7 Q What's represented on those pages?

8 A (Witness Strickland) What you see there is an  
9 actual subset of the flow-through report as published for  
10 October 1999.

11 Q Now, the second line on the first page has the  
12 word "reported." Do you see that?

13 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

14 Q The data that's labeled "reported," is that the  
15 information that's found on the page that's identified 8 on  
16 the bottom, that's probably 12 pages into this exhibit?

17 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

18 Q And so the purpose of the report that we're  
19 looking at is to compare the data that BellSouth was  
20 generating, as shown on Page 8, versus KCI's analysis based  
21 on the raw data that was received from BellSouth; fair  
22 statement?

23 A (Witness Strickland) Yes, in general.

24 Q And the purpose of this was to evaluate the  
25 accuracy of BellSouth's data?



1 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

2 Q Now, let me show you another document, if I could.

3 MR. LEMMER: Okay, Mr. Burgess, I would ask that  
4 this document be identified as Exhibit #2 for this hearing.

5 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Identified as AT&T 2.

6 (The documents referred to  
7 were marked for identification  
8 as AT&T Exhibit #2.)

9 BY MR. LEMMER:

10 Q And, Mr. Strickland, do you recognize what's been  
11 identified as AT&T Exhibit 2?

12 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

13 Q Is this a document that you prepared?

14 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

15 Q And is the reason for preparing this document  
16 similar to why you've prepared what's by identified as  
17 Exhibit #1?

18 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

19 Q And is the -- the bottom line or the basic intent  
20 of this document to again compare the results of raw data  
21 provided to you versus information BellSouth was providing  
22 on its flow-through statistics?

23 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

24 Q The statistics that are on the very first page of  
25 Exhibit #2, can you tell me the source of that data? And

1 I'm looking specifically at the line that's in bold.

2 A (Witness Strickland) Page 2?

3 Q No, first page.

4 A (Witness Strickland) Okay. That would be a flow-  
5 through report provided by BellSouth.

6 Q And if you would turn to Page #9, that has  
7 numbered Page 9 on the bottom. And if you look at --  
8 there's a line of information called "total interfaces,"  
9 on -- on that page. Do you see that?

10 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

11 Q Should then the numbers that are on Page 9 under  
12 the "total interface" line, should that equal the numbers  
13 that are on Page 1 in bold?

14 A (Witness Strickland) They should; in this  
15 instance they don't.

16 Q And do you know why they don't?

17 A (Witness Strickland) No. I don't believe I  
18 recognize the second document.

19 Q Do you recognize any part of Exhibit 2, other than  
20 the first page?

21 A (Witness Strickland) It resembles a flow-through  
22 document for October, but I don't know if it's the specific  
23 one that I used in my examination.

24 Q Now, you look at this Exhibit 2, there is a number  
25 of pages labeled, "Detail." And then, if you go further

1 into the report -- for example, I just turned to Page 15 --  
2 it says "Residence Detail."

3 A (Witness Strickland) That's correct.

4 Q Do you see that? What is the difference between a  
5 page containing detail and a page containing residence  
6 detail?

7 A (Witness Strickland) To the best of my  
8 understanding, the residence detail is a disaggregate or a  
9 further breakdown of the flow-through calculation.

10 Q Was there any -- did you perform any analysis of  
11 the disaggregated flow-through information to verify the  
12 accuracy of BellSouth's disaggregated flow-through data?

13 A (Witness Strickland) No.

14 Q That's all I have. Thank you.

15 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Thank you.

16 MR. HILL: Mr. Burgess, since the witness has  
17 testified he only recognizes the first page of this  
18 document, I'd ask that AT&T's Exhibit #2 be amended, and it  
19 be a one-page document consisting only of the first page.

20 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Response, Mr. Lemmer?

21 MR. LEMMER: If I can ask one question, I believe  
22 I can solve the problem.

23 BY MR. LEMMER:

24 Q If -- Mr. Strickland would correct me if I'm  
25 wrong, but I believe you indicated that the documents or the

1 pages attached to the first page of Exhibit #2 were familiar  
2 to you because you had seen reports like this?

3 A (Witness Strickland) That's correct.

4 MR. LEMMER: On that basis, Mr. Burgess, I would  
5 submit that it's a valid exhibit.

6 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Mr. Hill, one more time.

7 MR. HILL: Your Honor, he can only identify what  
8 he can identify. He identifies Page 1. I have no idea  
9 where these other pages came from. Neither does Mr.  
10 Strickland. And if AT&T wants to have it in evidence, they  
11 should have someone vouch for it.

12 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Let me ask you, Mr.  
13 Strickland, did you utilize these other pages in any of your  
14 analysis in coming up with the summary sheet on the front of  
15 this document?

16 WITNESS STRICKLAND: I used similar pages. But  
17 one thing I know for a fact is that the total mechanized  
18 LSRs on the document I used were 341,108.

19 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: So these specific pages  
20 that are attached to this document you did not use in your  
21 analysis in coming up with the summary sheet?

22 WITNESS STRICKLAND: No, sir. No, sir.

23 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Well, I'm going to, in that  
24 case, then, sustain Mr. Hill's objection, and the parts of  
25 this document that will be allowed in the record will be the

1 Q Okay. Meaning what? The exclusion is being  
2 applied or it's not being applied?

3 A (Witness Freundlich) They have deleted the  
4 exclusion from the code itself, so it is no longer being  
5 applied.

6 Q All right. Would it be accurate for anyone to  
7 suggest that KPMG routinely ignored exclusions that were  
8 being applied by BellSouth, but that were omitted from  
9 BellSouth's SQMs?

10 A (Witness Freundlich) That would not be an  
11 accurate characterization.

12 Q Thank you. No further question, Mr. Chairman.

13 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: CTAG? No response.

14 Ms. Boone?

15 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. BOONE:

17 Q Hi. Cathy Boone with Covad Communications. Did  
18 KPMG evaluate the local number portability measures in flow-  
19 through?

20 A (Witness Weeks) No.

21 Q Why not?

22 A (Witness Weeks) It's out of the scope.

23 Q I'm sorry?

24 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: You got to use that mic,  
25 Mr. Frey, please.



ATTACHMENT 25

DECLARATION OF JAY M. BRADBURY

BEFORE THE GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- - - - -  
In the Matter of: :  
Investigation into Development of :  
Electronic Interfaces for BellSouth's: Docket No. 8354-U  
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS :  
- - - - -

Hearing Room 110  
244 Washington Street  
Atlanta, Georgia

Tuesday, May 8, 2001

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing  
pursuant to Notice at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

LAUREN MCDONALD, JR., Chairman  
STAN WISE, Vice Chairman  
ROBERT BAKER, Commissioner  
ROBERT DURDEN, Commissioner  
DAVID BURGESS, Commissioner

\* \* \*



1 the industry that the more orders -- order types that can be  
2 eligible for flow-through, the more readily the bonding --  
3 electronic bonding that takes place between the parties can  
4 take place and facilitate larger volumes of order processing  
5 because fewer human beings need to get involved, and the  
6 overall flow of business will be facilitated.

7 Q In preparing this report, did KCI review  
8 BellSouth's systems for flow-through of BellSouth orders  
9 placed with BellSouth?

10 A (Witness Weeks) Yeah, I think I'm struggling with  
11 the question. Could you rephrase it?

12 Q Okay, let me rephrase. In doing work to develop  
13 this report, did KCI review the electronic systems through  
14 which orders placed with BellSouth would flow through?

15 A (Witness Weeks) We didn't review the systems, per  
16 se. If by that you mean performing extensive reviews and  
17 evaluations of the software code and so on on the BellSouth  
18 side, we did look at BellSouth documentation, the things  
19 that would be commercially available to a CLEC, those sorts  
20 of things. So I'm not quite sure the level at which you  
21 meant "review the systems."

22 Q Did you do a -- did KCI did a comparison between  
23 the flow-through achieved with orders placed with BellSouth  
24 versus the flow-through that would be available to CLEC  
25 orders?

1           A       (Witness Weeks)  It's our understanding -- we're  
2   not aware of a retail electronic bonding interface.  So the  
3   notion of flow-through on electronic bonded interface, we  
4   wouldn't believe there was a retail analog for that, so we  
5   wouldn't have made that analysis.

6           Q       Now, the Commission requested that an audit be  
7   performed of flow-through performance data; do you recall  
8   that?

9           A       (Witness Weeks)  Yes.

10          Q       And did KCI perform an audit of BellSouth's flow-  
11   through performance data?

12          A       (Witness Weeks)  Yes.  That is the report that you  
13   referenced earlier.

14          Q       Well, let me ask you to turn to the second and  
15   third page of that report, if you would, please.  And I'm  
16   looking down at the bottom of Page 2 and onto the top of  
17   Page 3.  At the bottom of Page 2 it says KCI, quote, "has  
18   not independently verified to the accuracy or completeness  
19   of the information provided.  Accordingly, KCI expresses no  
20   opinion on such data." Do you see that language?

21          A       (Witness Weeks)  That's correct.

22          Q       So is it a fair interpretation of that language  
23   that KCI did not independently verify information received  
24   from BellSouth for purposes of performing this flow-through  
25   analysis?

1           A       (Witness Weeks) I think it's a more accurate  
2       characterization to say that we did in many cases verify  
3       information in the flow-through reports by comparing and  
4       tracing and tracking information from the pseudo-CLEC and  
5       its transactions, and so there would be cases where we in  
6       fact did do validation; there would be other cases where  
7       representations were made to us by the company which we did  
8       not subject to any kind of validation.

9           Q       And would you give the Commission an example of  
10      the type of information that was not validated.

11          A       (Witness Weeks) Yes. There would have been  
12      certain MNPs in the LCSC, for example, that we took at face  
13      value, the company's representation of how they operated.  
14      And we would just have examined the result that came out of  
15      that process, without actually verifying the company's  
16      statements about how those MNPs worked.

17          Q       Now, if you turn over to the third page, it says,  
18      "KCI has no conducted an audit or review of the historical  
19      data provided to us in accordance with generally accepted  
20      auditing procedures and/or standards promulgated by the  
21      American Institute of Certified Public Accountants." Do you  
22      see that?

23          A       (Witness Weeks) Yes, I do.

24          Q       Is it fair to say that this statement that I just  
25      read from Page 3 of the report states that KCI did not

1 perform an audit of the historical data?

2 A (Witness Weeks) It's our understanding of the  
3 order that it asks for a thorough evaluation as opposed to  
4 an audit, because at the time this work was undertaken, KPMG  
5 Consulting was a portion of KPMG, LLP. We felt that we  
6 needed to make clear disclaimer that this was not an audit  
7 in the AICPA sense of an audit, because the word "audit"  
8 is used rather loosely sometimes. And so we felt it  
9 necessary to distinguish the kind of activity we were doing,  
10 which was a thorough evaluation, from an audit conducted for  
11 financial statement purposes.

12 Q Now, the order from this Commission talks about  
13 the performance of a, quote, "full audit of the percent  
14 flow-through service requests," unquote. Given the language  
15 on the bottom of Page 2 of the report and the top of Page 3  
16 of the report that we've been talking about, is it your  
17 opinion that KCI performed a, quote, "full audit," unquote?

18 A (Witness Weeks) We believe so; yes.

19 MR. LEMMER: Mr. Burgess, I'm handing out a  
20 document that I'd like to be identified as Exhibit 1 for  
21 this hearing.

22 (The documents referred to  
23 were marked for identification  
24 as AT&T Exhibit #1.)

25 BY MR. LEMMER:

1           Q     And I would ask, do you -- do you have a copy in  
2 front of you of what has been identified as Exhibit 1?

3           A     (Witness Weeks) Yes, we do.

4           Q     And do you recognize this document?

5           A     (Witness Frey) Yes.

6           A     (Witness Weeks) Yes.

7           Q     And there is a -- it says, "Prepared by Mr. Steve  
8 Strickland." Is Mr. Strickland...

9           A     (Witness Weeks) Yeah. We're going to ask Mr.  
10 Strickland to step to the microphone so that he can  
11 facilitate the answers to your question.

12          Q     And, Mr. Strickland, is it a fair generalization  
13 of what's in front of you, identified as Exhibit #1, that  
14 this is a step that you performed to evaluate the accuracy  
15 of BellSouth data relating to flow-through?

16          A     (Witness Strickland) Yes.

17          Q     And if you look on -- and I'm looking at the first  
18 page, and the very first line of numbers, says, quote, "From  
19 raw data," unquote. Do you see that?

20          A     (Witness Strickland) Yes.

21          Q     What does that line represent?

22          A     (Witness Strickland) What that represents is the  
23 results that we obtained when we created calculations and  
24 ran our own calculations based on the business rules that we  
25 understood for flow-through, against data captured by

1 BellSouth at the very earliest stages in their process.

2 Q And the raw data that's reflected in that first  
3 line, is that the information that you see beginning on  
4 what's labeled Page 2 on the bottom, that runs for several  
5 pages?

6 A (Witness Strickland) No.

7 Q What's represented on those pages?

8 A (Witness Strickland) What you see there is an  
9 actual subset of the flow-through report as published for  
10 October 1999.

11 Q Now, the second line on the first page has the  
12 word "reported." Do you see that?

13 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

14 Q The data that's labeled "reported," is that the  
15 information that's found on the page that's identified 8 on  
16 the bottom, that's probably 12 pages into this exhibit?

17 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

18 Q And so the purpose of the report that we're  
19 looking at is to compare the data that BellSouth was  
20 generating, as shown on Page 8, versus KCI's analysis based  
21 on the raw data that was received from BellSouth; fair  
22 statement?

23 A (Witness Strickland) Yes, in general.

24 Q And the purpose of this was to evaluate the  
25 accuracy of BellSouth's data?

1 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

2 Q Now, let me show you another document, if I could.

3 MR. LEMMER: Okay, Mr. Burgess, I would ask that  
4 this document be identified as Exhibit #2 for this hearing.

5 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Identified as AT&T 2.

6 (The documents referred to  
7 were marked for identification  
8 as AT&T Exhibit #2.)

9 BY MR. LEMMER:

10 Q And, Mr. Strickland, do you recognize what's been  
11 identified as AT&T Exhibit 2?

12 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

13 Q Is this a document that you prepared?

14 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

15 Q And is the reason for preparing this document  
16 similar to why you've prepared what's by identified as  
17 Exhibit #1?

18 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

19 Q And is the -- the bottom line or the basic intent  
20 of this document to again compare the results of raw data  
21 provided to you versus information BellSouth was providing  
22 on its flow-through statistics?

23 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

24 Q The statistics that are on the very first page of  
25 Exhibit #2, can you tell me the source of that data? And

1 I'm looking specifically at the line that's in bold.

2 A (Witness Strickland) Page 2?

3 Q No, first page.

4 A (Witness Strickland) Okay. That would be a flow-  
5 through report provided by BellSouth.

6 Q And if you would turn to Page #9, that has  
7 numbered Page 9 on the bottom. And if you look at --  
8 there's a line of information called "total interfaces,"  
9 on -- on that page. Do you see that?

10 A (Witness Strickland) Yes.

11 Q Should then the numbers that are on Page 9 under  
12 the "total interface" line, should that equal the numbers  
13 that are on Page 1 in bold?

14 A (Witness Strickland) They should; in this  
15 instance they don't.

16 Q And do you know why they don't?

17 A (Witness Strickland) No. I don't believe I  
18 recognize the second document.

19 Q Do you recognize any part of Exhibit 2, other than  
20 the first page?

21 A (Witness Strickland) It resembles a flow-through  
22 document for October, but I don't know if it's the specific  
23 one that I used in my examination.

24 Q Now, you look at this Exhibit 2, there is a number  
25 of pages labeled, "Detail." And then, if you go further



1 into the report -- for example, I just turned to Page 15 --  
2 it says "Residence Detail."

3 A (Witness Strickland) That's correct.

4 Q Do you see that? What is the difference between a  
5 page containing detail and a page containing residence  
6 detail?

7 A (Witness Strickland) To the best of my  
8 understanding, the residence detail is a disaggregate or a  
9 further breakdown of the flow-through calculation.

10 Q Was there any -- did you perform any analysis of  
11 the disaggregated flow-through information to verify the  
12 accuracy of BellSouth's disaggregated flow-through data?

13 A (Witness Strickland) No.

14 Q That's all I have. Thank you.

15 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Thank you.

16 MR. HILL: Mr. Burgess, since the witness has  
17 testified he only recognizes the first page of this  
18 document, I'd ask that AT&T's Exhibit #2 be amended, and it  
19 be a one-page document consisting only of the first page.

20 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Response, Mr. Lemmer?

21 MR. LEMMER: If I can ask one question, I believe  
22 I can solve the problem.

23 BY MR. LEMMER:

24 Q If -- Mr. Strickland would correct me if I'm  
25 wrong, but I believe you indicated that the documents or the

1 pages attached to the first page of Exhibit #2 were familiar  
2 to you because you had seen reports like this?

3 A (Witness Strickland) That's correct.

4 MR. LEMMER: On that basis, Mr. Burgess, I would  
5 submit that it's a valid exhibit.

6 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Mr. Hill, one more time.

7 MR. HILL: Your Honor, he can only identify what  
8 he can identify. He identifies Page 1. I have no idea  
9 where these other pages came from. Neither does Mr.  
10 Strickland. And if AT&T wants to have it in evidence, they  
11 should have someone vouch for it.

12 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Let me ask you, Mr.  
13 Strickland, did you utilize these other pages in any of your  
14 analysis in coming up with the summary sheet on the front of  
15 this document?

16 WITNESS STRICKLAND: I used similar pages. But  
17 one thing I know for a fact is that the total mechanized  
18 LSRs on the document I used were 341,108.

19 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: So these specific pages  
20 that are attached to this document you did not use in your  
21 analysis in coming up with the summary sheet?

22 WITNESS STRICKLAND: No, sir. No, sir.

23 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: Well, I'm going to, in that  
24 case, then, sustain Mr. Hill's objection, and the parts of  
25 this document that will be allowed in the record will be the

1 Q Okay. Meaning what? The exclusion is being  
2 applied or it's not being applied?

3 A (Witness Freundlich) They have deleted the  
4 exclusion from the code itself, so it is no longer being  
5 applied.

6 Q All right. Would it be accurate for anyone to  
7 suggest that KPMG routinely ignored exclusions that were  
8 being applied by BellSouth, but that were omitted from  
9 BellSouth's SQMs?

10 A (Witness Freundlich) That would not be an  
11 accurate characterization.

12 Q Thank you. No further question, Mr. Chairman.

13 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: CTAG? No response.

14 Ms. Boone?

15 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MS. BOONE:

17 Q Hi. Cathy Boone with Covad Communications. Did  
18 KPMG evaluate the local number portability measures in flow-  
19 through?

20 A (Witness Weeks) No.

21 Q Why not?

22 A (Witness Weeks) It's out of the scope.

23 Q I'm sorry?

24 COMMISSIONER BURGESS: You got to use that mic,  
25 Mr. Frey, please.



# October 2000 Flow Through Verification

Test: Percent Flow-Through Service Request Report Evaluation  
 Prepared by: Steve Strickland

Name	RESH / OCN	FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
			202331	12354	126423	341108	29910	40824	3997	266377	39750	30931	8819	226627	85.08%	87.99%
						341108	30028	41568	3989							
						0	-118	-744	8							
						0.00%	-0.39%	-1.79%	0.20%							

Reconciled based on coding error elimination LSRs with more than one Auto Clar  
 Error impacts all subsequent calculations

AT&T Hearing  
 Exhibit 2

# ORDERING

## REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (SUMMARY) REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

	ADJUSTED FLOW-THROUGH %
CLEC AGGREGATE	
REGION ALL SERVICES	88.96%
	FLOW-THROUGH %
BST AGGREGATE	
REGION	
- RETAIL RESIDENCE	95.20%
- RETAIL BUSINESS **	0 **
<p><b>Note **:</b> According to the FCC's ordering flow-through definition in the Louisiana II Order, stating that orders must be transmitted electronically through the gateway without manual intervention, BellSouth has uncovered that BST retail business orders have no mechanized service order generation and therefore do not fall within the FCC's flow-through definition. Therefore, the appropriate BST business retail flow-through is really 0.</p>	

Remaining Portions of AT&T  
Hearing exhibit 2 Excluded from  
the record.

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																							
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING															FLOWTHROUGH	
		LEO					LESOG																
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors												
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation							
Name	RESH / OCN																						
#1		2	0	0	13	13	0	6	0	7	7	3	4	0	0.00%	0.00%							
#2		35	1205	0	0	1205	62	97	7	1039	316	302	14	723	69.59%	70.54%							
#3		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%							
#4		12	41	0	0	41	3	5	0	33	9	4	5	24	72.73%	85.71%							
#5		1	64	0	0	64	4	7	2	51	12	9	3	39	76.47%	81.25%							
#6		17	1084	0	0	1084	54	84	20	926	333	309	24	593	64.04%	65.74%							
#7		0	7	0	0	7	0	2	1	4	3	3	0	1	25.00%	25.00%							
#8		1	12	0	0	12	2	7	0	3	1	1	0	2	66.67%	66.67%							
#9		2	12	0	0	12	5	0	0	7	1	1	0	6	85.71%	85.71%							
#10		30	3673	0	0	3673	252	266	25	3130	500	440	60	2630	84.03%	85.67%							
#11		29	825	0	0	825	240	85	20	480	214	183	31	266	55.42%	59.24%							
#12		3	305	0	0	305	9	12	0	284	17	14	3	267	94.01%	95.02%							
#13		41	0	0	148	148	4	51	0	93	40	25	15	53	56.99%	67.95%							
#14		41	416	0	0	416	49	35	12	320	53	49	4	267	83.44%	84.49%							
#15		7	76	0	0	76	8	11	5	52	20	19	1	32	61.54%	62.75%							
#16		12	225	0	0	225	30	39	0	156	72	13	59	84	53.85%	86.60%							
#17		10	0	146	0	146	70	26	23	27	11	7	4	16	59.26%	69.57%							
#18		10	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%							
#19		38	1063	0	0	1063	89	223	12	739	103	64	39	636	86.06%	90.86%							
#20		16	434	0	0	434	16	50	2	366	38	34	4	328	89.62%	90.61%							
#21		1	17	0	0	17	1	2	0	14	0	0	0	14	100.00%	100.00%							
#22		2	614	0	0	614	19	24	3	568	16	13	3	552	97.18%	97.70%							
#23		33	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%							
#24		33	2702	0	0	2702	104	201	15	2382	136	106	30	2246	94.29%	95.49%							
#25		7	18	0	0	18	8	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	100.00%	100.00%							
#26		30	341	0	0	341	39	53	9	240	62	54	8	178	74.17%	76.72%							
#27		3	126	0	0	126	9	17	0	100	9	7	2	91	91.00%	92.86%							
#28		2	21	0	0	21	0	3	0	18	5	4	1	13	72.22%	76.47%							
#29		5	61	0	0	61	0	2	0	59	4	3	1	55	93.22%	94.83%							
#30		4	863	0	0	863	19	43	0	801	25	20	5	776	96.88%	97.49%							
#31		0	66	0	0	66	1	13	0	52	7	7	0	45	86.54%	86.54%							
#32		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%							
#33		7	255	0	0	255	50	18	7	180	64	54	10	116	64.44%	68.24%							
#34		10	192	0	0	192	7	12	1	172	7	4	3	165	95.93%	97.63%							
#35		19	638	0	0	638	62	86	3	487	33	31	2	454	93.22%	93.61%							
#36		0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%							
#37		0	228	0	0	228	10	18	3	197	13	13	0	184	93.40%	93.40%							
#38		0	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	3	2	2	0	1	33.33%	33.33%							
#39		65	18742	0	0	18742	1236	1804	149	15553	966	676	290	14587	93.79%	95.57%							
#40		0	76	0	0	76	7	8	0	61	15	14	1	46	75.41%	76.67%							

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING									
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG									
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual		Rejects		Validated		Errors			
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
#81		0	152	0	0	152	3	7	0	142	4	3	1	138	97.18%	97.87%
#82		2	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	2	2	0	4	66.67%	66.67%
#83		0	32	0	0	32	3	5	2	22	6	6	0	16	72.73%	72.73%
#84		5	205	0	0	205	26	6	7	166	41	35	6	125	75.30%	78.13%
#85		108	0	0	2847	2847	57	278	46	2466	89	39	50	2377	96.39%	98.39%
#86		108	625	0	0	625	32	80	22	491	79	42	37	412	83.91%	90.75%
#87		0	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#88		23	405	0	0	405	19	39	1	346	21	16	5	325	93.93%	95.31%
#89		11	621	0	0	621	36	50	3	532	81	38	43	451	84.77%	92.23%
#90		1	21	0	0	21	11	3	0	7	2	2	0	5	71.43%	71.43%
#91		74	0	6	0	6	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%
#92		74	1124	0	0	1124	204	141	23	756	315	253	62	441	58.33%	63.54%
#93		50	0	245	0	245	109	53	44	39	23	19	4	16	41.03%	45.71%
#94		3	119	0	0	119	36	11	0	72	27	21	6	45	62.50%	68.18%
#95		12	568	0	0	568	33	88	28	419	137	117	20	282	67.30%	70.68%
#96		376	0	0	9023	9023	203	773	115	7932	156	96	60	7776	98.03%	98.78%
#97		376	3610	0	0	3610	344	368	76	2822	356	280	76	2466	87.38%	89.80%
#98		11	340	0	0	340	47	64	4	225	78	54	24	147	65.33%	73.13%
#99		68	0	2111	0	2111	1052	174	167	718	272	133	139	446	62.12%	77.03%
#100		68	161	0	0	161	23	12	1	125	39	34	5	86	68.80%	71.67%
#101		14	253	0	0	253	62	25	5	161	32	27	5	129	80.12%	82.69%
#102		16	0	964	0	964	261	60	0	643	2	1	1	641	99.69%	99.84%
#103		7	1061	0	0	1061	10	52	9	990	29	21	8	961	97.07%	97.86%
#104		0	0	102	0	102	2	16	2	82	5	2	3	77	93.90%	97.47%
#105		0	226	0	0	226	4	12	0	210	8	7	1	202	96.19%	96.65%
#106		0	14	0	0	14	1	1	4	8	8	7	1	0	0.00%	0.00%
#107		448	0	0	3089	3089	221	324	61	2483	219	158	61	2264	91.18%	93.48%
#108		448	38575	0	0	38575	938	2866	208	34563	1857	648	1209	32706	94.63%	98.06%
#109		41	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#110		41	4455	0	0	4455	270	329	65	3791	187	157	30	3604	95.07%	95.83%
#111		3	775	0	0	775	100	161	2	512	111	92	19	401	78.32%	81.34%
#112		0	46	0	0	46	3	5	0	38	7	5	2	31	81.58%	86.11%
#113		0	6	0	0	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#114		0	28	0	0	28	4	3	0	21	11	5	6	10	47.62%	66.67%
#115		4	0	0	158	158	3	15	1	139	16	7	9	123	88.49%	94.62%
#116		4	363	0	0	363	9	24	3	327	34	23	11	293	89.60%	92.72%
#117		4	92	0	0	92	38	10	1	43	19	11	8	24	55.81%	68.57%
#118		3	19	0	0	19	3	4	0	12	3	2	1	9	75.00%	81.82%
#119		11	1184	0	0	1184	81	154	1	948	49	32	17	899	94.83%	96.56%
#120		15	140	0	0	140	0	33	7	100	63	26	37	37	37.00%	58.73%



ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																		
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING										FLOWTHROUGH	
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG										Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors			Issued SO's				
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout					
#121		172	0	3340	0	3340	38	767	60	2475	1327	1170	157	1148	46.38%	49.53%		
#122		0	13	0	0	13	2	0	0	11	7	4	3	4	36.36%	50.00%		
#123		5	359	0	0	359	62	30	2	265	105	93	12	160	60.38%	63.24%		
#124		5	474	0	0	474	90	23	8	353	104	90	14	249	70.54%	73.45%		
#125		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#126		1	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#127		44	0	0	651	651	47	106	19	479	263	153	110	216	45.09%	58.54%		
#128		44	16	0	0	16	3	0	0	13	1	1	0	12	92.31%	92.31%		
#129		116	0	0	718	718	338	113	13	254	97	63	34	157	61.81%	71.36%		
#130		116	1052	0	0	1052	158	162	26	706	401	340	61	305	43.20%	47.29%		
#131		124	0	0	20495	20495	301	2208	0	17986	341	214	127	17645	98.10%	98.80%		
#132		124	235	0	0	235	2	24	0	209	7	6	1	202	96.65%	97.12%		
#133		0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	66.67%	66.67%		
#134		80	0	0	15277	15277	196	1611	0	13470	902	247	655	12568	93.30%	98.07%		
#135		80	294	0	0	294	1	69	1	223	9	5	4	214	95.96%	97.72%		
#136		8	0	4	0	4	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	50.00%	100.00%		
#137		8	91	0	0	91	8	6	0	77	3	1	2	74	96.10%	98.67%		
#138		10	0	0	11	11	5	2	1	3	3	2	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#139		0	7	0	0	7	1	4	0	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#140		21	0	313	0	313	191	45	23	54	12	6	6	42	77.78%	87.50%		
#141		21	74	0	0	74	39	11	0	24	11	6	5	13	54.17%	68.42%		
#142		4	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	3	2	2	0	1	33.33%	33.33%		
#143		0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#144		0	20	0	0	20	4	2	0	14	1	1	0	13	92.86%	92.86%		
#145		25	0	104	0	104	26	25	6	47	46	6	40	1	2.13%	14.29%		
#146		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#147		0	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#148		0	5	0	0	5	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	50.00%	100.00%		
#149		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#150		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#151		0	0	0	6	6	0	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#152		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%		
#153		0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#154		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#155		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#156		18	0	0	319	319	60	59	1	199	65	51	14	134	67.34%	72.43%		
#157		18	24	0	0	24	5	0	0	19	3	1	2	16	84.21%	94.12%		
#158		289	0	2624	0	2624	8	782	33	1801	1122	941	181	679	37.70%	41.91%		
#159		4	0	0	18	18	1	8	1	8	4	3	1	4	50.00%	57.14%		
#160		2	0	0	11	11	3	0	0	8	6	2	4	2	25.00%	50.00%		

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																	
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING									FLOWTHROUGH	
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG									Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors			Issued SO's			
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout				
#161		2	134	0	0	134	14	8	1	111	91	56	35	20	18.02%	26.32%	
#162		113	0	174	0	174	139	9	0	26	17	14	3	9	34.62%	39.13%	
#163		113	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#164		22	3294	0	0	3294	159	245	12	2878	117	106	11	2761	95.93%	96.30%	
#165		10	660	0	0	660	128	28	21	483	213	188	25	270	55.90%	58.95%	
#166		1	88	0	0	88	6	5	0	77	2	1	1	75	97.40%	98.68%	
#167		10	457	0	0	457	24	3	6	424	31	28	3	393	92.69%	93.35%	
#168		0	17	0	0	17	3	1	0	13	3	3	0	10	76.92%	76.92%	
#169		9	0	0	78	78	49	10	2	17	7	2	5	10	58.82%	83.33%	
#170		9	210	0	0	210	27	29	10	144	67	55	12	77	53.47%	58.33%	
#171		8	116	0	0	116	11	13	1	91	18	15	3	73	80.22%	82.95%	
#172		23	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#173		23	379	0	0	379	77	49	4	249	87	68	19	162	65.06%	70.43%	
#174		0	28	0	0	28	1	0	0	27	0	0	0	27	100.00%	100.00%	
#175		3	402	0	0	402	41	34	7	320	39	30	9	281	87.81%	90.35%	
#176		0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	66.67%	66.67%	
#177		342	7104	0	0	7104	1027	1663	99	4315	1599	1304	295	2716	62.94%	67.56%	
#178		0	146	0	0	146	20	4	0	122	6	5	1	116	95.08%	95.87%	
#179		69	0	0	2236	2236	39	206	52	1939	52	30	22	1887	97.32%	98.44%	
#180		69	488	0	0	488	20	73	9	386	41	27	14	345	89.38%	92.74%	
#181		0	21	0	0	21	6	4	0	11	8	7	1	3	27.27%	30.00%	
#182		8	646	0	0	646	33	22	6	585	24	22	2	561	95.90%	96.23%	
#183		4	298	0	0	298	13	14	5	266	57	49	8	209	78.57%	81.01%	
#184		9	197	0	0	197	12	11	0	174	8	4	4	166	95.40%	97.65%	
#185		3	15	0	0	15	12	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	66.67%	66.67%	
#186		3	82	0	0	82	13	8	1	60	19	15	4	41	68.33%	73.21%	
#187		0	4	0	0	4	0	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#188		34	1854	0	0	1854	40	89	4	1721	93	78	15	1628	94.60%	95.43%	
#189		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#190		9	411	0	0	411	43	18	5	345	16	16	0	329	95.36%	95.36%	
#191		7	619	0	0	619	36	28	2	553	31	22	9	522	94.39%	95.96%	
#192		30	1083	0	0	1083	171	146	20	746	286	256	30	460	61.66%	64.25%	
#193		16	1261	0	0	1261	29	34	13	1185	38	26	12	1147	96.79%	97.78%	
#194		9	51	0	0	51	13	12	3	23	12	10	2	11	47.83%	52.38%	
#195		3	0	23	0	23	1	9	3	10	3	1	2	7	70.00%	87.50%	
#196		156	0	0	1751	1751	42	677	47	985	400	273	127	585	59.39%	68.18%	
#197		0	5	0	0	5	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#198		47	0	0	11	11	0	2	0	9	0	0	0	9	100.00%	100.00%	
#199		47	7166	0	0	7166	435	499	60	6172	270	242	28	5902	95.63%	96.06%	
#200		11	824	0	0	824	45	56	1	722	32	22	10	690	95.57%	96.91%	

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																		
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING										FLOWTHROUGH	
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG										Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects	Validated		Errors			Issued SO's				
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout					
#201		1	42	0	0	42	9	5	0	28	12	10	2	16	57.14%	61.54%		
#202		23	1148	0	0	1148	81	108	2	957	76	49	27	881	92.06%	94.73%		
#203		27	3777	0	0	3777	227	435	5	3110	95	69	26	3015	96.95%	97.76%		
#204		22	169	0	0	169	13	3	2	151	72	58	14	79	52.32%	57.66%		
#205		6	52	0	0	52	12	7	0	33	13	10	3	20	60.61%	66.67%		
#206		0	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	1	33.33%	33.33%		
#207		2	1921	0	0	1921	83	236	24	1578	186	130	56	1392	88.21%	91.46%		
#208		3413	0	0	58140	58140	9506	9097	943	38594	10659	8788	1871	27935	72.38%	76.07%		
#209		3413	2426	0	0	2426	248	465	49	1664	507	410	97	1157	69.53%	73.84%		
#210		1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#211		1	343	0	0	343	32	33	7	271	25	15	10	246	90.77%	94.25%		
#212		0	97	0	0	97	16	4	1	76	6	5	1	70	92.11%	93.33%		
#213		46	513	0	0	513	71	92	10	340	134	116	18	206	60.59%	63.98%		
#214		5	20	0	0	20	0	2	0	18	2	2	0	16	88.89%	88.89%		
#215		15	76	0	0	76	18	6	3	49	22	22	0	27	55.10%	55.10%		
#216		9	377	0	0	377	28	79	5	265	31	20	11	234	88.30%	92.13%		
#217		0	18	0	0	18	7	3	0	8	2	0	2	6	75.00%	100.00%		
#218		28	1480	0	0	1480	117	150	10	1203	98	81	17	1105	91.85%	93.17%		
#219		3	165	0	0	165	11	17	1	136	8	7	1	128	94.12%	94.81%		
#220		155	11644	0	0	11644	181	815	40	10608	607	528	79	10001	94.28%	94.99%		
#221		3	138	0	0	138	8	11	4	115	16	9	7	99	86.09%	91.67%		
#222		0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#223		14	585	0	0	585	28	105	4	448	94	69	25	354	79.02%	83.69%		
#224		11	858	0	0	858	50	62	4	742	49	43	6	693	93.40%	94.16%		
#225		0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#226		1	60	0	0	60	4	11	1	44	29	24	5	15	34.09%	38.46%		
#227		2	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	2	2	1	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#228		1	144	0	0	144	40	31	3	70	6	5	1	64	91.43%	92.75%		
#229		1	1195	0	0	1195	45	101	28	1021	69	42	27	952	93.24%	95.77%		
#230		6	111	0	0	111	20	15	1	75	18	14	4	57	76.00%	80.28%		
#231		0	49	0	0	49	2	4	1	42	12	10	2	30	71.43%	75.00%		
#232		7	494	0	0	494	45	31	10	408	191	169	22	217	53.19%	56.22%		
#233		66	0	0	15	15	12	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%		
#234		66	1661	0	0	1661	283	324	31	1023	363	304	59	660	64.52%	68.46%		
#235		0	29	0	0	29	0	11	0	18	0	0	0	18	100.00%	100.00%		
#236		7	720	0	0	720	50	48	10	612	10	9	1	602	98.37%	98.53%		
#237		0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#238		0	19	0	0	19	0	0	0	19	1	0	1	18	94.74%	100.00%		
#239		18	228	0	0	228	24	36	1	167	23	20	3	144	86.23%	87.80%		
#240		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																		
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING										FLOWTHROUGH	
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG										Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors			Issued SO's				
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout					
#241		0	290	0	0	290	14	19	3	254	11	10	1	243	95.67%	96.05%		
#242		0	7	0	0	7	2	2	0	3	1	1	0	2	66.67%	66.67%		
#243		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#244		15	0	0	32	32	0	0	0	32	32	31	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#245		2	146	0	0	146	8	21	1	116	5	4	1	111	95.69%	96.52%		
#246		0	87	0	0	87	9	14	0	64	10	8	2	54	84.38%	87.10%		
#247		21	2457	0	0	2457	220	216	23	1998	178	125	53	1820	91.09%	93.57%		
#248		8	1553	0	0	1553	90	134	27	1302	134	117	17	1168	89.71%	90.89%		
#249		15	849	0	0	849	47	38	5	759	48	41	7	711	93.68%	94.55%		
#250		39	0	0	790	790	400	8	23	359	154	136	18	205	57.10%	60.12%		
#251		28	2613	0	0	2613	195	130	27	2261	293	258	35	1968	87.04%	88.41%		
#252		0	356	0	0	356	19	40	0	297	25	22	3	272	91.58%	92.52%		
#253		0	100	0	0	100	7	6	0	87	6	6	0	81	93.10%	93.10%		
#254		1	14	0	0	14	0	2	0	12	4	2	2	8	66.67%	80.00%		
#255		63	0	0	490	490	57	55	3	375	41	26	15	334	89.07%	92.78%		
#256		53	138	0	0	138	37	16	1	84	20	19	1	64	76.19%	77.11%		
#257		1	27	0	0	27	1	14	1	11	3	1	2	8	72.73%	88.89%		
#258		4	203	0	0	203	29	28	0	146	23	22	1	123	84.25%	84.83%		
#259		38	325	0	0	325	53	26	7	239	46	36	10	193	80.75%	84.28%		
#260		0	106	0	0	106	14	4	2	86	10	10	0	76	88.37%	88.37%		
#261		9	753	0	0	753	44	115	1	593	53	41	12	540	91.06%	92.94%		
#262		0	5	0	0	5	0	3	0	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#263		1	181	0	0	181	10	18	1	152	18	13	5	134	88.16%	91.16%		
#264		0	12	0	0	12	0	0	0	12	2	2	0	10	83.33%	83.33%		
#265		0	65	0	0	65	1	0	3	61	3	2	1	58	95.08%	96.67%		
#266		3	0	0	270	270	8	15	1	246	26	5	21	220	89.43%	97.78%		
#267		3	136	0	0	136	26	18	2	90	15	12	3	75	83.33%	86.21%		
#268		3	69	0	0	69	6	12	2	49	32	31	1	17	34.69%	35.42%		
#269		0	21	0	0	21	2	6	2	11	3	3	0	8	72.73%	72.73%		
#270		1	127	0	0	127	19	25	7	76	48	37	11	28	36.84%	43.08%		
#271		10	161	0	0	161	50	18	2	91	38	36	2	53	58.24%	59.55%		
#272		0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#273		5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#274		5	123	0	0	123	16	46	2	59	12	9	3	47	79.66%	83.93%		
#275		50	0	0	220	220	7	19	0	194	10	7	3	184	94.85%	96.34%		
#276		50	666	0	0	666	71	67	4	524	105	79	26	419	79.96%	84.14%		
#277		9	100	0	0	100	15	22	4	59	29	23	6	30	50.85%	56.60%		
#278		0	10	0	0	10	1	1	0	8	5	3	2	3	37.50%	50.00%		
#279		0	39	0	0	39	6	5	3	25	14	13	1	11	44.00%	45.83%		
#280		4	84	0	0	84	6	8	2	68	8	6	2	60	88.24%	90.91%		

ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																			
Company Info			LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING								FLOWTHROUGH			
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESO								Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation			
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors								
		FATAL	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech	Total	Auto	Pending		Total	BST Caused	CLEC						
		REJECTS				LSR's	Manual	Clarification	Supps	LSR's	System	Fallout	Fallout	Caused			Issued SO's		
#281		13	689	0	0	689	75	83	7	524	48	36	12	476	90.84%	92.97%			
#282		9	0	0	7	7	6	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%			
#283		9	767	0	0	767	120	186	12	449	168	132	36	281	62.58%	68.04%			
#284		6	335	0	0	335	10	27	3	295	47	45	2	248	84.07%	84.64%			
#285		6	25	0	0	25	1	19	0	5	5	4	1	0	0.00%	0.00%			
#286		8	541	0	0	541	106	120	16	299	111	93	18	188	62.88%	66.90%			
#287		0	49	0	0	49	3	3	0	43	4	3	1	39	90.70%	92.86%			
#288		105	3940	0	0	3940	369	386	47	3138	1339	1223	116	1799	57.33%	59.53%			
#289		0	14	0	0	14	1	6	0	7	7	7	0	0	0.00%	0.00%			
#290		1	512	0	0	512	63	32	1	416	16	14	2	400	96.15%	96.62%			
#291		4	58	0	0	58	1	2	3	52	30	29	1	22	42.31%	43.14%			
#292		5	76	0	0	76	9	16	1	50	7	2	5	43	86.00%	95.56%			
#293		1	165	0	0	165	14	17	2	132	12	9	3	120	90.91%	93.02%			
#294		0	26	0	0	26	7	2	0	17	5	2	3	12	70.59%	85.71%			
#295		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%			
#296		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%			
#297		4	242	0	0	242	3	30	3	206	6	5	1	200	97.09%	97.56%			
#298		1	27	0	0	27	1	3	2	21	7	5	2	14	66.67%	73.68%			
#299		23	0	89	0	89	21	17	14	37	25	15	10	12	32.43%	44.44%			
#300		25	0	143	0	143	91	36	11	5	2	2	0	3	60.00%	60.00%			
#301		25	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%			
#302		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%			
LENS Subtotal		8099	186785	0	0	186785	13402	18105	1868	153410	18628	14446	4182	134782	87.86%	90.32%			
EDI Subtotal		1137	0	12335	0	12335	2815	2255	463	6802	3031	2425	606	3771	55.44%	60.86%			
14G Subtotal		6074	0	0	125914	125914	11963	16513	1372	96066	13888	10535	3353	82178	85.54%	88.64%			
TOTAL INTERFACES		15310	186785	12335	125914	325034	28180	36873	3703	256278	35547	27406	8141	220731	86.13%	88.96%			

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (RESIDENCE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

11/20/2000

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (RESIDENCE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING									
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESO									
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual		Rejects		Validated	Errors				FLOWTHROUGH
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's		Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	
#81		41	4455	0	0	4455	270	329	65	3791		187	157	30	3604	95.07%
#82		41	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	1	100.00%
#83		3	160	0	0	160	5	43	1	111		15	14	1	96	86.49%
#84		0	37	0	0	37	0	4	0	33		5	3	2	28	84.85%
#85		0	27	0	0	27	4	3	0	20		10	4	6	10	50.00%
#86		4	363	0	0	363	9	24	3	327		34	23	11	293	89.60%
#87		4	0	0	158	158	3	15	1	139		16	7	9	123	88.49%
#88		4	15	0	0	15	13	0	0	2		1	1	0	1	50.00%
#89		3	17	0	0	17	2	4	0	11		2	1	1	9	81.82%
#90		11	1176	0	0	1176	81	150	1	944		46	29	17	898	95.13%
#91		172	0	3339	0	3339	38	767	60	2474		1326	1170	156	1148	46.40%
#92		5	111	0	0	111	12	11	0	88		24	19	5	64	72.73%
#93		5	424	0	0	424	77	22	7	318		82	70	12	236	74.21%
#94		44	16	0	0	16	3	0	0	13		1	1	0	12	92.31%
#95		44	0	0	651	651	47	106	19	479		263	153	110	216	45.09%
#96		116	278	0	0	278	43	84	3	148		67	47	20	81	54.73%
#97		116	0	0	211	211	27	56	8	120		40	20	20	80	66.67%
#98		124	235	0	0	235	2	24	0	209		7	6	1	202	96.65%
#99		124	0	0	20495	20495	301	2208	0	17986		341	214	127	17645	98.10%
#100		80	294	0	0	294	1	69	1	223		9	5	4	214	95.96%
#101		80	0	0	15277	15277	196	1611	0	13470		902	247	655	12568	93.30%
#102		8	83	0	0	83	2	6	0	75		1	1	0	74	98.67%
#103		0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1		1	1	0	0	0.00%
#104		0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	2		0	0	0	2	100.00%
#105		18	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	1	100.00%
#106		18	0	0	6	6	0	3	0	3		2	2	0	1	33.33%
#107		289	0	2624	0	2624	8	782	33	1801		1122	941	181	679	37.70%
#108		2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	1	100.00%
#109		22	3291	0	0	3291	158	245	12	2876		116	105	11	2760	95.97%
#110		10	386	0	0	386	51	14	12	309		133	120	13	176	56.96%
#111		1	85	0	0	85	6	4	0	75		1	0	1	74	98.67%
#112		10	457	0	0	457	24	3	6	424		31	28	3	393	92.69%
#113		0	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	3		0	0	0	3	100.00%
#114		9	39	0	0	39	5	6	2	26		10	6	4	16	61.54%
#115		9	0	0	3	3	1	1	0	1		0	0	0	1	100.00%
#116		8	116	0	0	116	11	13	1	91		18	15	3	73	80.22%
#117		23	219	0	0	219	20	25	2	172		34	27	7	138	80.23%
#118		0	28	0	0	28	1	0	0	27		0	0	0	27	100.00%
#119		3	402	0	0	402	41	34	7	320		39	30	9	281	87.81%
#120		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2		0	0	0	2	100.00%

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (RESIDENCE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING					FLOWTHROUGH				
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG									
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual		Rejects		Validated	Errors			Issued SO's	Base Calculation
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's		Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout		
#161		1	1195	0	0	1195	45	101	28	1021	69	42	27	952	93.24%	95.77%
#162		0	49	0	0	49	2	4	1	42	12	10	2	30	71.43%	75.00%
#163		7	483	0	0	483	41	29	10	403	190	168	22	213	52.85%	55.91%
#164		66	18	0	0	18	0	9	0	9	9	9	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#165		0	29	0	0	29	0	11	0	18	0	0	0	18	100.00%	100.00%
#166		7	719	0	0	719	50	48	10	611	10	9	1	601	98.36%	98.52%
#167		0	17	0	0	17	0	0	0	17	1	0	1	16	94.12%	100.00%
#168		18	198	0	0	198	22	27	1	148	16	14	2	132	89.19%	90.41%
#169		0	290	0	0	290	14	19	3	254	11	10	1	243	95.67%	96.05%
#170		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%
#171		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#172		2	141	0	0	141	8	19	1	113	5	4	1	108	95.58%	96.43%
#173		0	86	0	0	86	9	14	0	63	9	7	2	54	85.71%	88.52%
#174		21	2442	0	0	2442	218	215	22	1987	173	121	52	1814	91.29%	93.75%
#175		8	1546	0	0	1546	89	134	26	1297	133	116	17	1164	89.75%	90.94%
#176		15	844	0	0	844	46	38	5	755	47	40	7	708	93.77%	94.65%
#177		28	2613	0	0	2613	195	130	27	2261	293	258	35	1968	87.04%	88.41%
#178		0	356	0	0	356	19	40	0	297	25	22	3	272	91.58%	92.52%
#179		0	100	0	0	100	7	6	0	87	6	6	0	81	93.10%	93.10%
#180		1	14	0	0	14	0	2	0	12	4	2	2	8	66.67%	80.00%
#181		53	138	0	0	138	37	16	1	84	20	19	1	64	76.19%	77.11%
#182		53	0	0	490	490	57	55	3	375	41	26	15	334	89.07%	92.78%
#183		1	8	0	0	8	0	1	1	6	0	0	0	6	100.00%	100.00%
#184		4	202	0	0	202	29	28	0	145	23	22	1	122	84.14%	84.72%
#185		38	320	0	0	320	50	26	7	237	45	35	10	192	81.01%	84.58%
#186		0	106	0	0	106	14	4	2	86	10	10	0	76	88.37%	88.37%
#187		9	746	0	0	746	44	114	1	587	52	41	11	535	91.14%	92.88%
#188		0	3	0	0	3	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#189		1	181	0	0	181	10	18	1	152	18	13	5	134	88.16%	91.16%
#190		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%
#191		0	65	0	0	65	1	0	3	61	3	2	1	58	95.08%	96.67%
#192		3	136	0	0	136	26	18	2	90	15	12	3	75	83.33%	86.21%
#193		3	0	0	268	268	8	15	1	244	26	5	21	218	89.34%	97.76%
#194		3	69	0	0	69	6	12	2	49	32	31	1	17	34.69%	35.42%
#195		0	20	0	0	20	2	6	2	10	3	3	0	7	70.00%	70.00%
#196		1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#197		0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#198		50	666	0	0	666	71	67	4	524	105	79	26	419	79.96%	84.14%
#199		50	0	0	220	220	7	19	0	194	10	7	3	184	94.85%	96.34%
#200		9	38	0	0	38	7	5	0	26	10	7	3	16	61.54%	69.57%



## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (RESIDENCE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING					FLOWTHROUGH				
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG									
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual		Rejects		Validated	Errors			Issued SO's	Base Calculation
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout			
#121		342	6352	0	0	6352	838	1498	83	3933	1348	1122	226	2585	65.73%	69.73%
#122		0	146	0	0	146	20	4	0	122	6	5	1	116	95.08%	95.87%
#123		69	488	0	0	488	20	73	9	386	41	27	14	345	89.38%	92.74%
#124		69	0	0	2236	2236	39	206	52	1939	52	30	22	1887	97.32%	98.44%
#125		8	644	0	0	644	33	22	6	583	23	22	1	560	96.05%	96.22%
#126		4	298	0	0	298	13	14	5	266	57	49	8	209	78.57%	81.01%
#127		9	197	0	0	197	12	11	0	174	8	4	4	166	95.40%	97.65%
#128		3	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%
#129		3	6	0	0	6	3	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%
#130		34	1854	0	0	1854	40	89	4	1721	93	78	15	1628	94.60%	95.43%
#131		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#132		9	411	0	0	411	43	18	5	345	16	16	0	329	95.36%	95.36%
#133		7	617	0	0	617	36	28	1	552	30	21	9	522	94.57%	96.13%
#134		30	11	0	0	11	1	3	1	6	4	4	0	2	33.33%	33.33%
#135		16	1253	0	0	1253	29	34	13	1177	37	25	12	1140	96.86%	97.85%
#136		156	0	0	1687	1687	37	638	47	965	386	262	124	579	60.00%	68.85%
#137		47	7166	0	0	7166	435	499	60	6172	270	242	28	5902	95.63%	96.06%
#138		47	0	0	11	11	0	2	0	9	0	0	0	9	100.00%	100.00%
#139		11	823	0	0	823	45	56	1	721	31	22	9	690	95.70%	96.91%
#140		1	39	0	0	39	7	5	0	27	11	9	2	16	59.26%	64.00%
#141		23	1145	0	0	1145	79	108	2	956	75	48	27	881	92.15%	94.83%
#142		27	3777	0	0	3777	227	435	5	3110	95	69	26	3015	96.95%	97.76%
#143		22	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#144		0	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	3	2	2	0	1	33.33%	33.33%
#145		2	1904	0	0	1904	81	236	23	1564	179	129	50	1385	88.55%	91.48%
#146		3413	64	0	0	64	3	52	0	9	4	4	0	5	55.56%	55.56%
#147		3413	0	0	413	413	279	95	1	38	37	8	29	1	2.63%	11.11%
#148		1	341	0	0	341	32	33	6	270	25	15	10	245	90.74%	94.23%
#149		0	97	0	0	97	16	4	1	76	6	5	1	70	92.11%	93.33%
#150		46	38	0	0	38	1	14	0	23	4	4	0	19	82.61%	82.61%
#151		5	20	0	0	20	0	2	0	18	2	2	0	16	88.89%	88.89%
#152		9	329	0	0	329	25	58	2	244	21	14	7	223	91.39%	94.09%
#153		0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#154		28	1480	0	0	1480	117	150	10	1203	98	81	17	1105	91.85%	93.17%
#155		3	154	0	0	154	10	13	1	130	4	4	0	126	96.92%	96.92%
#156		155	11643	0	0	11643	181	815	40	10607	607	528	79	10000	94.28%	94.98%
#157		3	138	0	0	138	8	11	4	115	16	9	7	99	86.09%	91.67%
#158		14	585	0	0	585	28	105	4	448	94	69	25	354	79.02%	83.69%
#159		11	853	0	0	853	50	61	4	738	47	41	6	691	93.63%	94.40%
#160		1	14	0	0	14	0	4	0	10	7	6	1	3	30.00%	33.33%

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (RESIDENCE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING									
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESO									
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual		Rejects		Validated	Errors			Issued SO's	Base Calculation
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's		Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout		
#161		1	1195	0	0	1195	45	101	28	1021	69	42	27	952	93.24%	95.77%
#162		0	49	0	0	49	2	4	1	42	12	10	2	30	71.43%	75.00%
#163		7	483	0	0	483	41	29	10	403	190	168	22	213	52.85%	55.91%
#164		66	18	0	0	18	0	9	0	9	9	9	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#165		0	29	0	0	29	0	11	0	18	0	0	0	18	100.00%	100.00%
#166		7	719	0	0	719	50	48	10	611	10	9	1	601	98.36%	98.52%
#167		0	17	0	0	17	0	0	0	17	1	0	1	16	94.12%	100.00%
#168		18	198	0	0	198	22	27	1	148	16	14	2	132	89.19%	90.41%
#169		0	290	0	0	290	14	19	3	254	11	10	1	243	95.67%	96.05%
#170		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%
#171		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#172		2	141	0	0	141	8	19	1	113	5	4	1	108	95.58%	96.43%
#173		0	86	0	0	86	9	14	0	63	9	7	2	54	85.71%	88.52%
#174		21	2442	0	0	2442	218	215	22	1987	173	121	52	1814	91.29%	93.75%
#175		8	1546	0	0	1546	89	134	26	1297	133	116	17	1164	89.75%	90.94%
#176		15	844	0	0	844	46	38	5	755	47	40	7	708	93.77%	94.65%
#177		28	2613	0	0	2613	195	130	27	2261	293	258	35	1968	87.04%	88.41%
#178		0	358	0	0	358	19	40	0	297	25	22	3	272	91.58%	92.52%
#179		0	100	0	0	100	7	6	0	87	6	6	0	81	93.10%	93.10%
#180		1	14	0	0	14	0	2	0	12	4	2	2	8	66.67%	80.00%
#181		53	138	0	0	138	37	16	1	84	20	19	1	64	76.19%	77.11%
#182		53	0	0	490	490	57	55	3	375	41	26	15	334	89.07%	92.78%
#183		1	8	0	0	8	0	1	1	6	0	0	0	6	100.00%	100.00%
#184		4	202	0	0	202	29	28	0	145	23	22	1	122	84.14%	84.72%
#185		38	320	0	0	320	50	26	7	237	45	35	10	192	81.01%	84.58%
#186		0	106	0	0	106	14	4	2	86	10	10	0	76	88.37%	88.37%
#187		9	746	0	0	746	44	114	1	587	52	41	11	535	91.14%	92.88%
#188		0	3	0	0	3	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#189		1	181	0	0	181	10	18	1	152	18	13	5	134	88.16%	91.16%
#190		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%
#191		0	65	0	0	65	1	0	3	61	3	2	1	58	95.08%	96.67%
#192		3	136	0	0	136	26	18	2	90	15	12	3	75	83.33%	86.21%
#193		3	0	0	268	268	8	15	1	244	26	5	21	218	89.34%	97.76%
#194		3	69	0	0	69	6	12	2	49	32	31	1	17	34.69%	35.42%
#195		0	20	0	0	20	2	6	2	10	3	3	0	7	70.00%	70.00%
#196		1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#197		0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#198		50	666	0	0	666	71	67	4	524	105	79	26	419	79.96%	84.14%
#199		50	0	0	220	220	7	19	0	194	10	7	3	184	94.85%	96.34%
#200		9	38	0	0	38	7	5	0	26	10	7	3	16	61.54%	69.57%

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (RESIDENCE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																
Company Info			LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING								
	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG								FLOWTHROUGH	
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors				Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
		FATAL	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech	Total	Auto	Pending	LSR's	Total	BST Caused	CLEC			
		REJECTS				LSR's	Manual	Clarification	Supps		System	Fallout	Fallout	Caused		
Name							Fallout				Fallout			Issued SO's		
#201		4	84	0	0	84	6	8	2	68	8	6	2	60	88.24%	90.91%
#202		13	688	0	0	688	75	83	7	523	48	36	12	475	90.82%	92.95%
#203		9	7	0	0	7	0	2	0	5	5	3	2	0	0.00%	0.00%
#204		6	335	0	0	335	10	27	3	295	47	45	2	248	84.07%	84.64%
#205		6	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	2	2	1	1	0	0.00%	0.00%
#206		8	386	0	0	386	50	93	12	231	67	57	10	164	71.00%	74.21%
#207		0	49	0	0	49	3	3	0	43	4	3	1	39	90.70%	92.86%
#208		105	3805	0	0	3805	337	366	44	3058	1300	1189	111	1758	57.49%	59.65%
#209		0	14	0	0	14	1	6	0	7	7	7	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#210		1	512	0	0	512	63	32	1	416	16	14	2	400	96.15%	96.62%
#211		4	58	0	0	58	1	2	3	52	30	29	1	22	42.31%	43.14%
#212		5	76	0	0	76	9	16	1	50	7	2	5	43	86.00%	95.56%
#213		1	161	0	0	161	12	17	2	130	12	9	3	118	90.77%	92.91%
#214		0	26	0	0	26	7	2	0	17	5	2	3	12	70.59%	85.71%
#215		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#216		4	242	0	0	242	3	30	3	206	6	5	1	200	97.09%	97.56%
#217		1	27	0	0	27	1	3	2	21	7	5	2	14	66.67%	73.68%
#218		25	0	17	0	17	0	13	2	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%
LENS Subtotal		7770	166029	0	0	166029	9215	15020	1404	140390	13378	10122	3256	127012	90.47%	92.62%
EDI Subtotal		781	0	7641	0	7641	303	1794	150	5394	2639	2202	437	2755	51.08%	55.58%
TAG Subtotal		5769	0	0	65976	65976	1716	7276	383	56601	2867	1433	1434	53734	94.93%	97.40%
TOTAL INTERFACES		14320	166029	7641	65976	239646	11234	24090	1937	202385	18884	13757	5127	183501	90.67%	93.03%

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (BUSINESS DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																	
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING								FLOWTHROUGH		
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESO								Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation	
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors						
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's			
#1		2	0	0	13	13	0	6	0	7	7	3	4	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#2		12	6	0	0	6	1	0	0	5	2	1	1	3	60.00%	75.00%	
#3		1	20	0	0	20	4	1	0	15	4	4	0	11	73.33%	73.33%	
#4		17	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#5		0	7	0	0	7	0	2	1	4	3	3	0	1	25.00%	25.00%	
#6		2	11	0	0	11	5	0	0	6	1	1	0	5	83.33%	83.33%	
#7		30	25	0	0	25	13	3	0	9	4	4	0	5	55.56%	55.56%	
#8		29	610	0	0	610	228	58	13	311	160	137	23	151	19.55%	52.43%	
#9		41	191	0	0	191	23	10	9	149	25	23	2	124	83.22%	84.35%	
#10		41	0	0	37	37	3	8	0	26	13	7	6	13	50.00%	65.00%	
#11		7	52	0	0	52	7	9	5	31	16	15	1	15	48.39%	50.00%	
#12		12	12	0	0	12	3	7	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%	
#13		10	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#14		16	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#15		33	46	0	0	46	9	16	0	21	4	3	1	17	80.95%	85.00%	
#16		7	16	0	0	16	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	100.00%	100.00%	
#17		30	238	0	0	238	25	42	7	164	32	28	4	132	80.49%	82.50%	
#18		3	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	2	2	0	2	50.00%	50.00%	
#19		7	253	0	0	253	50	18	6	179	63	54	9	116	64.80%	68.24%	
#20		0	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%	
#21		0	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	3	2	2	0	1	33.33%	33.33%	
#22		0	76	0	0	76	7	8	0	61	15	14	1	46	75.41%	76.67%	
#23		6	121	0	0	121	27	10	2	82	42	31	11	40	48.78%	56.34%	
#24		9	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#25		2	21	0	0	21	2	0	0	19	10	9	1	9	47.37%	50.00%	
#26		1	6	0	0	6	3	0	0	3	1	0	1	2	66.67%	100.00%	
#27		368	693	0	0	693	327	46	24	296	121	110	11	175	59.12%	61.40%	
#28		368	0	0	59	59	27	6	6	20	8	7	1	12	60.00%	63.16%	
#29		0	4	0	0	4	2	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#30		10	65	0	0	65	11	6	0	48	22	16	6	26	54.17%	61.90%	
#31		8	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#32		1	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	2	0	2	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#33		0	13	0	0	13	6	1	0	6	2	2	0	4	66.67%	66.67%	
#34		14	12	0	0	12	1	5	0	6	0	0	0	6	100.00%	100.00%	
#35		36	18	0	0	18	8	2	1	7	6	4	2	1	14.29%	20.00%	

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (BUSINESS DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																		
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING										FLOWTHROUGH	
		LEO					LESO											
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors							
Name	RESH / OCN	FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation		
#36		0	6	0	0	6	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	50.00%	100.00%		
#37		1	27	0	0	27	26	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#38		1	28	0	0	28	18	2	0	8	3	3	0	5	62.50%	62.50%		
#39		3	39	0	0	39	12	2	0	25	15	12	3	10	40.00%	45.45%		
#40		34	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#41		2	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	2	2	0	4	66.67%	66.67%		
#42		0	17	0	0	17	3	2	2	10	5	5	0	5	50.00%	50.00%		
#43		5	109	0	0	109	14	4	4	87	28	25	3	59	67.82%	70.24%		
#44		11	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#45		1	19	0	0	19	11	3	0	5	1	1	0	4	80.00%	80.00%		
#46		74	936	0	0	936	190	108	22	616	256	210	46	360	58.44%	63.16%		
#47		74	0	5	0	5	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#48		3	91	0	0	91	34	3	0	54	25	19	6	29	53.70%	60.42%		
#49		12	141	0	0	141	11	17	8	105	42	38	4	63	60.00%	62.38%		
#50		11	309	0	0	309	42	40	4	223	76	53	23	147	65.92%	73.50%		
#51		68	142	0	0	142	22	9	1	110	37	32	5	73	66.36%	69.52%		
#52		68	0	1623	0	1623	962	108	114	439	194	111	83	245	55.81%	68.82%		
#53		14	112	0	0	112	56	11	4	41	14	11	3	27	65.85%	71.05%		
#54		7	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	100.00%	100.00%		
#55		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#56		448	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%		
#57		3	615	0	0	615	95	118	1	401	96	78	18	305	76.06%	79.63%		
#58		0	9	0	0	9	3	1	0	5	2	2	0	3	60.00%	60.00%		
#59		0	6	0	0	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#60		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#61		4	77	0	0	77	25	10	1	41	18	10	8	23	56.10%	69.70%		
#62		3	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#63		11	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#64		0	13	0	0	13	2	0	0	11	7	4	3	4	36.36%	50.00%		
#65		5	248	0	0	248	50	19	2	177	81	74	7	96	54.24%	56.47%		
#66		5	50	0	0	50	13	1	1	35	22	20	2	13	37.14%	39.39%		
#67		1	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#68		116	734	0	0	734	105	62	22	545	321	281	40	224	41.10%	44.36%		
#69		116	0	0	464	464	293	40	4	127	51	38	13	76	59.84%	66.67%		
#70		0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	66.67%	66.67%		

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (BUSINESS DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																		
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING										FLOWTHROUGH	
		LEO					LESO											
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects	Validated			Errors						
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation		
Name	RESH / OCN																	
#71		8	0	4	0	4	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	50.00%	100.00%		
#72		10	0	0	11	11	5	2	1	3	3	2	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#73		21	74	0	0	74	39	11	0	24	11	6	5	13	54.17%	68.42%		
#74		4	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	3	2	2	0	1	33.33%	33.33%		
#75		0	17	0	0	17	3	2	0	12	1	1	0	11	91.67%	91.67%		
#76		0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#77		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#78		0	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#79		0	5	0	0	5	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	50.00%	100.00%		
#80		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#81		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#82		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%		
#83		0	0	0	6	6	0	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#84		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#85		0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#86		18	23	0	0	23	5	0	0	18	3	1	2	15	83.33%	93.75%		
#87		18	0	0	313	313	60	56	1	196	63	49	14	133	67.86%	73.08%		
#88		4	0	0	18	18	1	8	1	8	4	3	1	4	50.00%	57.14%		
#89		2	133	0	0	133	14	8	1	110	91	56	35	19	17.27%	25.33%		
#90		2	0	0	11	11	3	0	0	8	6	2	4	2	25.00%	50.00%		
#91		113	0	9	0	9	0	5	0	4	2	2	0	2	50.00%	50.00%		
#92		22	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%		
#93		10	274	0	0	274	77	14	9	174	80	68	12	94	54.02%	58.02%		
#94		1	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%		
#95		0	13	0	0	13	3	0	0	10	3	3	0	7	70.00%	70.00%		
#96		9	159	0	0	159	20	16	8	115	54	47	7	61	53.04%	56.48%		
#97		9	0	0	66	66	43	7	2	14	5	2	3	9	64.29%	81.82%		
#98		23	127	0	0	127	50	11	0	66	42	30	12	24	36.36%	44.44%		
#99		23	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#100		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#101		342	752	0	0	752	189	165	16	382	251	182	69	131	34.29%	41.85%		
#102		0	21	0	0	21	6	4	0	11	8	7	1	3	27.27%	30.00%		
#103		8	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	50.00%	100.00%		
#104		3	13	0	0	13	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#105		3	76	0	0	76	10	7	1	58	18	14	4	40	68.97%	74.07%		

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (BUSINESS DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING									
		LEO					LESOG									
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors					
		FATAL	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech	Total	Auto	Pending		Total	BST	CLEC	Issued	Base	CLEC Error
Name	RESH / OCN	REJECTS				LSR's	Manual	Clarification	Supps	LSR's	System	Caused	Caused	SO's	Calculation	Excluded
#106		0	4	0	0	4	0	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#107		7	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#108		30	1072	0	0	1072	170	143	19	740	282	252	30	458	61.89%	64.51%
#109		16	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	1	1	0	7	87.50%	87.50%
#110		9	51	0	0	51	13	12	3	23	12	10	2	11	47.83%	52.38%
#111		156	0	0	64	64	5	39	0	20	14	11	3	6	30.00%	35.29%
#112		0	5	0	0	5	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#113		11	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%
#114		1	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#115		23	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#116		22	167	0	0	167	13	3	1	150	72	58	14	78	52.00%	57.35%
#117		6	52	0	0	52	12	7	0	33	13	10	3	20	60.61%	66.67%
#118		2	17	0	0	17	2	0	1	14	7	1	6	7	50.00%	87.50%
#119		3413	64	0	0	64	14	25	0	25	24	23	1	1	4.00%	4.17%
#120		3413	0	0	107	107	37	59	1	10	10	2	8	0	0.00%	0.00%
#121		1	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#122		46	475	0	0	475	70	78	10	317	130	112	18	187	58.99%	62.54%
#123		15	76	0	0	76	18	6	3	49	22	22	0	27	55.10%	55.10%
#124		9	48	0	0	48	3	21	3	21	10	6	4	11	52.38%	64.71%
#125		0	17	0	0	17	7	2	0	8	2	0	2	6	75.00%	100.00%
#126		3	11	0	0	11	1	4	0	6	4	3	1	2	33.33%	40.00%
#127		155	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#128		0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
#129		11	5	0	0	5	0	1	0	4	2	2	0	2	50.00%	50.00%
#130		1	46	0	0	46	4	7	1	34	22	18	4	12	35.29%	40.00%
#131		2	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	2	2	1	1	0	0.00%	0.00%
#132		1	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%
#133		6	111	0	0	111	20	15	1	75	18	14	4	57	76.00%	80.28%
#134		7	11	0	0	11	4	2	0	5	1	1	0	4	80.00%	80.00%
#135		66	25	0	0	25	7	5	0	13	3	2	1	10	76.92%	83.33%
#136		7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
#137		0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%
#138		18	30	0	0	30	2	9	0	19	7	6	1	12	63.16%	66.67%
#139		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%
#140		0	5	0	0	5	2	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (BUSINESS DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																	
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING									FLOWTHROUGH	
		LEO					LESOG										
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects	Validated			Errors					
Name	RESH / OCN	FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's	Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation	
#141		2	5	0	0	5	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	3	100.00%	100.00%	
#142		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#143		21	12	0	0	12	2	1	0	9	3	3	0	6	66.67%	66.67%	
#144		8	7	0	0	7	1	0	1	5	1	1	0	4	80.00%	80.00%	
#145		15	5	0	0	5	1	0	0	4	1	1	0	3	75.00%	75.00%	
#146		1	18	0	0	18	1	13	0	4	2	1	1	2	50.00%	66.67%	
#147		4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#148		38	5	0	0	5	3	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%	
#149		9	7	0	0	7	0	1	0	6	1	0	1	5	83.33%	100.00%	
#150		0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#151		0	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	1	1	0	9	90.00%	90.00%	
#152		3	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%	
#153		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#154		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#155		10	6	0	0	6	3	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#156		9	62	0	0	62	8	17	4	33	19	16	3	14	42.42%	46.67%	
#157		0	10	0	0	10	1	1	0	8	5	3	2	3	37.50%	50.00%	
#158		0	39	0	0	39	6	5	3	25	14	13	1	11	44.00%	45.83%	
#159		13	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#160		9	9	0	0	9	2	3	0	4	0	0	0	4	100.00%	100.00%	
#161		6	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#162		8	154	0	0	154	56	26	4	68	44	36	8	24	35.29%	40.00%	
#163		105	135	0	0	135	32	20	3	80	39	34	5	41	51.25%	54.67%	
#164		1	4	0	0	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%	
#165		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#166		25	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
#167		25	0	3	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%	
#168		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
LENS Subtotal		8194	10826	0	0	10826	2440	1342	236	6808	2953	2440	513	3855	56.62%	61.24%	
EDI Subtotal		288	0	1644	0	1644	969	114	114	447	197	113	84	250	55.93%	68.87%	
TAG Subtotal		4165	0	0	1180	1180	483	235	17	445	188	128	60	257	57.75%	66.75%	
TOTAL INTERFACES		10647	10826	1644	1180	13650	3892	1691	367	7700	3338	2681	657	4362	56.65%	61.93%	



## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (UNE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																		
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING										FLOWTHROUGH	
Name	RESH / OCN	LEO					LESOG										Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects	Validated		Errors			Issued SO's				
		FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout					
#1		1	12	0	0	12	2	7	0	3	1	1	0	2	66.67%	66.67%		
#2		29	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#3		12	213	0	0	213	27	32	0	154	71	12	59	83	53.90%	87.37%		
#4		10	0	146	0	146	70	26	23	27	11	7	4	16	59.26%	69.57%		
#5		7	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	100.00%		
#6		30	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#7		7	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#8		0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#9		9	0	186	0	186	128	24	22	12	8	8	0	4	33.33%	33.33%		
#10		9	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#11		42	0	212	0	212	163	22	11	16	11	8	3	5	31.25%	38.46%		
#12		368	4102	0	0	4102	927	572	100	2503	994	897	97	1509	60.29%	62.72%		
#13		368	0	0	224	224	131	22	7	64	19	18	1	45	70.31%	71.43%		
#14		0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%		
#15		0	38	0	0	38	10	7	0	21	15	15	0	6	28.57%	28.57%		
#16		8	27	0	0	27	0	6	6	15	10	3	7	5	33.33%	62.50%		
#17		36	0	464	0	464	343	35	42	44	33	24	9	11	25.00%	31.43%		
#18		120	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#19		0	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#20		50	0	245	0	245	109	53	44	39	23	19	4	16	41.03%	45.71%		
#21		11	31	0	0	31	5	24	0	2	2	1	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#22		68	0	15	0	15	1	5	2	7	3	1	2	4	57.14%	80.00%		
#23		16	0	964	0	964	261	60	0	643	2	1	1	641	99.69%	99.84%		
#24		0	12	0	0	12	1	1	4	6	6	6	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#25		448	0	0	8	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#26		11	7	0	0	7	0	4	0	3	3	3	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#27		15	140	0	0	140	0	33	7	100	63	26	37	37	37.00%	58.73%		
#28		172	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#29		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#30		116	40	0	0	40	10	16	1	13	13	12	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#31		116	0	0	43	43	18	17	1	7	6	5	1	1	14.29%	16.67%		
#32		8	8	0	0	8	6	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#33		0	5	0	0	5	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#34		21	0	313	0	313	191	45	23	54	12	6	6	42	77.78%	87.50%		
#35		25	0	104	0	104	26	25	6	47	46	6	40	1	2.13%	14.29%		
#36		0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#37		113	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		

## ORDERING

REPORT: PERCENT FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (UNE DETAIL)  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES																		
Company Info		LSR SUBMISSION					LSR PROCESSING										FLOWTHROUGH	
		LEO					LESOG											
		Mechanized Interface Used					Manual	Rejects		Validated	Errors					Base Calculation	CLEC Error Excluded Calculation	
Name	RESH / OCN	FATAL REJECTS	LENS	EDI	TAG	Total Mech LSR's	Total Manual Fallout	Auto Clarification	Pending Supps	LSR's	Total System Fallout	BST Caused Fallout	CLEC Caused Fallout	Issued SO's				
#38		113	0	165	0	165	139	4	0	22	15	12	3	7	31.82%	36.84%		
#39		9	12	0	0	12	2	7	0	3	3	2	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#40		9	0	0	9	9	5	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#41		23	33	0	0	33	7	13	2	11	11	11	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#42		3	0	23	0	23	1	9	3	10	3	1	2	7	70.00%	87.50%		
#43		3413	2298	0	0	2298	231	388	49	1630	479	383	96	1151	70.61%	75.03%		
#44		3413	0	0	57620	57620	9190	8943	941	38546	10612	8778	1834	27934	72.47%	76.09%		
#45		1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#46		0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#47		1	141	0	0	141	40	30	3	68	5	4	1	63	92.65%	94.03%		
#48		66	1618	0	0	1618	276	310	31	1001	351	293	58	650	64.94%	68.93%		
#49		66	0	0	15	15	12	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	50.00%	50.00%		
#50		0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#51		15	0	0	32	32	0	0	0	32	32	31	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#52		21	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#53		39	0	0	790	790	400	8	23	359	154	136	18	205	57.10%	60.12%		
#54		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#55		1	125	0	0	125	19	24	7	75	48	37	11	27	36.00%	42.19%		
#56		10	155	0	0	155	47	18	2	88	35	33	2	53	60.23%	61.63%		
#57		5	123	0	0	123	16	46	2	59	12	9	3	47	79.66%	83.93%		
#58		5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
#59		9	751	0	0	751	118	181	12	440	163	129	34	277	62.95%	68.23%		
#60		9	0	0	7	7	6	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#61		6	19	0	0	19	0	17	0	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#62		8	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
#63		23	0	89	0	89	21	17	14	37	25	15	10	12	32.43%	44.44%		
#64		25	0	123	0	123	90	22	9	2	2	2	0	0	0.00%	0.00%		
LENS Subtotal		4309	9930	0	0	9930	1747	1743	228	6212	2297	1884	413	3915	63.02%	67.51%		
EDI Subtotal		613	0	3050	0	3050	1543	347	199	961	195	110	85	766	79.71%	87.44%		
TAG Subtotal		4618	0	0	58758	58758	9764	9002	972	39020	10833	8974	1859	28187	72.24%	75.85%		
TOTAL INTERFACES		9540	9930	3050	58758	71738	13054	11092	1399	46193	13325	10968	2357	32868	71.15%	74.98%		

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES										
ERROR DETAILS (Auto Clarifications (A) & Errors (E) )				CAUSATION						
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ %	Error Description	CLEC Caused			BST Caused		
					Count	% of Agg	% of CLEC	Count	% of Agg	% of BST Caused
8825	12589	16.33%	16.33%	MISCELLANEOUS	3575	28.40%	5.78%	9014	71.60%	59.295%
1000	7272	9.43%	25.76%	MISCELLANEOUS	7020	96.53%	11.34%	252	3.47%	1.658%
7400	4726	6.13%	31.89%	CLEC DOES NOT OWN THIS ACCOUNT.	4725	99.98%	7.63%	1	0.02%	0.007%
8190	3005	3.90%	35.79%	INVALID USOC FOR BASIC CLASS OF SERVICE. FORMAT SAE 434 11 S98CP /TN /PKG VM	3005	100.00%	4.85%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9641	2666	3.72%	39.50%	REQUESTED ACTIVITY ALREADY PENDING DM4V32	2864	99.93%	4.63%	2	0.07%	0.013%
8175	2687	3.49%	42.99%	USOC NOT AVAILABLE IN SWITCH. FORMAT SAE 180N 11 ESXDC	2687	100.00%	4.34%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7435	2567	3.33%	46.32%	WKG SVC - INPUT ADL, CONVSN ORD OR NOTE ABAND STA	2567	100.00%	4.15%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8970	2325	3.02%	49.33%	FID RCU WITH TWC FOUND ON SAME LINE AS 3-WAY CALLING USOC	2321	99.83%	3.75%	4	0.17%	0.026%
7055	2319	3.01%	52.34%	NUM= TELNO= ACCOUNT IS FINAL	2303	99.31%	3.72%	16	0.69%	0.105%
9602	2308	2.99%	55.34%	USOC=NSS ALREADY EXISTS ON CUSTOMER RECORD	2291	99.26%	3.70%	17	0.74%	0.112%
8150	2195	2.85%	58.18%	ORDER HAS BEEN REQUEUED FOR THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF OCCURRENCES	551	25.10%	0.89%	1644	74.90%	10.814%
9627	2113	2.74%	60.92%	ALL CUSTOMER RECORDS ARE FINAL FOR THIS NUMBER	2108	99.76%	3.41%	5	0.24%	0.033%
8830	1838	2.38%	63.31%	CLEC ALREADY OWNS THIS ACCOUNT	1810	98.48%	2.92%	28	1.52%	0.184%
7805	1727	2.24%	65.55%	SITE COULD NOT BE DETERMINED	1141	66.07%	1.84%	586	33.93%	3.855%
7465	1686	2.19%	67.73%	CANNOT CANCEL ORDER	1098	65.12%	1.77%	588	34.88%	3.868%
8167	1375	1.78%	69.52%	INVALID USOC CHARACTER. FORMAT SAE 013 11 CREXI	1375	100.00%	2.22%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8189	1345	1.74%	71.26%	USOC IS NOT VALID ON BST FILE. FORMAT SAE 433 11 CREX6	1345	100.00%	2.17%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7645	1219	1.58%	72.84%	MATCH IN CSR SA AND LSR HOUSENUM NOT FOUND	482	39.54%	0.78%	737	60.46%	4.848%
9481	1128	1.46%	74.31%	LNUM=00001 FEATURE DOES NOT EXIST ON ACCOUNT TO DISCONNECT	1122	99.47%	1.81%	6	0.53%	0.039%
7718	1043	1.35%	75.66%	UNABLE TO RETRIEVE PSO TO PROCESS SUP	417	39.98%	0.67%	626	60.02%	4.118%
7860	1005	1.30%	76.96%	RSAG - NO EXACT MATCH ON STREET NAME	1002	99.70%	1.62%	3	0.30%	0.020%
9529	1004	1.30%	78.26%	CANNOT RESTORE A LINE WHICH IS NOT SUSPENDED/DENIED	1003	99.90%	1.62%	1	0.10%	0.007%
9515	949	1.23%	79.50%	WKG SVC-INPUT ADL, CONVERSION ORDER OR NOTE ABANDONED STATION	942	99.26%	1.52%	7	0.74%	0.046%
9637	920	1.19%	80.69%	STAR 98 SERVICE IS NOT AVAILABLE FOR THIS CENTRAL OFFICE	911	99.02%	1.47%	9	0.98%	0.059%
7250	915	1.18%	81.88%	LSR HOUSENUMBER INCORRECT	911	99.56%	1.47%	4	0.44%	0.026%
8187	889	1.15%	83.03%	USOC MAY NOT APPEAR ON REQUEST. FORMAT SAE 431 T1 EMP1S /TN	889	100.00%	1.44%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9805	806	1.05%	84.07%	NO DENIAL INDICATOR ON CUSTOMER RECORD	806	100.00%	1.30%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7110	732	0.95%	85.02%	COFFI NOT AVAILABLE	301	41.12%	0.49%	431	58.88%	2.835%
8195	633	0.82%	85.84%	CALL FORWARDING USOC MUST NOT APPEAR. FORMAT SAE 540 11 GCJ /TN /CFND	633	100.00%	1.02%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7020	607	0.79%	86.63%	NUM= TELNO= TN NOT FOUND IN CRIS	605	99.67%	0.98%	2	0.33%	0.013%
9496	565	0.73%	87.36%	TNS= ON LNUM=00004 NOT FOUND ON EATN= FOR ACT=	492	87.08%	0.79%	73	12.92%	0.480%
7630	526	0.68%	88.05%	MEMORY CALL SERVICE NOT AVAILABLE IN SWITCH.	193	36.69%	0.31%	333	63.31%	2.191%
7735	493	0.64%	88.69%	INVALID/MISSING LISTING NAME OR TYPE	493	100.00%	0.80%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7660	468	0.61%	89.29%	USOC FUJ1X NOT FOR RESALE	466	99.57%	0.75%	2	0.43%	0.013%
8240	434	0.56%	89.86%	INVALID LINE CLASS OF SVC FOR REQUESTED SERVICE	434	100.00%	0.70%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8940	432	0.56%	90.42%	CALL FORWARDING NUMBER MISSING OR INVALID	430	99.54%	0.69%	2	0.46%	0.013%
8820	344	0.45%	90.86%	SOCS ERROR: LUD BILL 004 ACT CODE NOT FOR THIS ORD TYPE	227	65.99%	0.37%	117	34.01%	0.770%
8197	342	0.44%	91.31%	CALL FORWARDING USOC MUST APPEAR. FORMAT SAE 541	342	100.00%	0.55%	0	0.00%	0.000%

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES										
ERROR DETAILS (Auto Clarifications (A) & Errors (E))				CAUSATION						
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ %	Error Description	CLEC Caused			BST Caused		
					Count	% of Agg	% of CLEC	Count	% of Agg	% of BST Caused
7710	341	0.44%	91.75%	CANNOT CANCEL OR CHANGE DUE DATE ON NON-EXISTENT ORDER	237	69.50%	0.38%	104	30.50%	0.684%
8204	319	0.41%	92.16%	BCR/NSS/NX8 INVALID USOC COMBINATION. FORMAT SAE 575 R1 NSS /TN /SED 08-03-0	319	100.00%	0.52%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8209	317	0.41%	92.57%	USOC COMBINATION IS INVALID. FORMAT SAE 587 I1 ESXDC /TN	317	100.00%	0.51%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9442	282	0.37%	92.94%	DLNUM=0002 LTN= ALI MUST BE UNIQUE	279	98.94%	0.45%	3	1.06%	0.020%
9860	282	0.37%	93.30%	UNABLE TO HANDLE REQUEST; ENDUSER ACCOUNT FROZEN	282	100.00%	0.46%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8173	263	0.34%	93.65%	INVALID CLASS OF SERVICE. FORMAT IDNT 131 UEPRL=	263	100.00%	0.42%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9647	243	0.32%	93.96%	BAN DOES NOT EXIST FOR COMPANY CODE	243	100.00%	0.39%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9488	239	0.31%	94.27%	DISPOSITION OF ALL LINES REQUIRED ON ACT V	239	100.00%	0.39%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7890	230	0.30%	94.57%	RSAG - NO EXACT MATCH ON SUPPLEMENTAL ADDRESS	228	99.13%	0.37%	2	0.87%	0.013%
8207	218	0.28%	94.85%	BRD/NSQ/NX9 INVALID USOC COMBINATION. FORMAT SAE 576 I1 NX9 /TN	218	100.00%	0.35%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7225	195	0.25%	95.11%	USOC= EXC IS MISSING	194	99.49%	0.31%	1	0.51%	0.007%
9439	189	0.25%	95.35%	LTN= DISPOSITION OF LISTINGS ON MIGRATED LINES REQUIRED	188	99.47%	0.30%	1	0.53%	0.007%
7905	183	0.24%	95.59%	RSAG - INCORRECT COMMUNITY, INCORRECT ZIP CODE OR INVALID ADDRESS FORMAT	183	100.00%	0.30%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7115	172	0.22%	95.81%	DSAP TELEPHONE NUMBER NOT ACTIVE/FOUND IN SITE	69	40.12%	0.11%	103	59.88%	0.678%
9160	169	0.22%	96.03%	LOCBAN INVALID FOR PORTED NUMBER ACTIVITY	169	100.00%	0.27%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7500	163	0.21%	96.24%	DUE DATE COULD NOT BE DETERMINED	37	22.70%	0.06%	126	77.30%	0.829%
8170	154	0.20%	96.44%	USOC MAY ONLY APPEAR ONCE. FORMAT SAE 110 I1 CREX1 /TN 305 556-3023 /RMKR (A)	154	100.00%	0.25%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7245	136	0.18%	96.62%	NUM= ZCRT FID, DATA, OR DELIMITER IS MISSING	130	95.59%	0.21%	6	4.41%	0.039%
7715	136	0.18%	96.79%	SOCs TIMEOUT/NOT AVAILABLE	60	44.12%	0.10%	76	55.88%	0.500%
9626	130	0.17%	96.96%	CLASS OF SERVICE LNPRL NOT ELIGIBLE FOR CONVERSION TO PORT/LOOP	130	100.00%	0.21%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9475	129	0.17%	97.13%	ACT= ALLOWED ONLY ON SAME LOCNUM SERVICE ADDRESS	129	100.00%	0.21%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9800	125	0.16%	97.29%	MAIN LISTING REQUIRED FOR NEW ACCOUNT	125	100.00%	0.20%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9605	123	0.16%	97.45%	USOC NOT FOR RESALE FORMAT SAE 959 T1 PGRAX /ZPGR 1 /RMKR (A)	123	100.00%	0.20%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7145	109	0.14%	97.59%	INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE RECEIVED AND DDD IS INVALID	108	99.08%	0.17%	1	0.92%	0.007%
7555	109	0.14%	97.73%	FID MISSING IN FEATURE DETAIL	99	90.83%	0.16%	10	9.17%	0.066%
9654	106	0.14%	97.87%	DIRECTORY DELIVERY ADDRESS IS REQUIRED FOR INDEFINITE OR UNNUMBERED ENDU	106	100.00%	0.17%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7910	98	0.13%	98.00%	RSAG - NO MATCH ON EXACT STREET NAME	60	61.22%	0.10%	38	38.78%	0.250%
7725	84	0.11%	98.11%	WAITING PERIOD EQUALS 5 MINUTES	16	19.05%	0.03%	68	80.95%	0.447%
7380	74	0.10%	98.20%	UNE - ACTL INVALID	74	100.00%	0.12%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8179	70	0.09%	98.29%	NPA NXX NOT VALID. FORMAT SAE 184 I1 NXMCR	70	100.00%	0.11%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7815	64	0.08%	98.38%	FID=RCU INVALID OR MISSING DATA	57	89.06%	0.09%	7	10.94%	0.046%
7900	60	0.08%	98.46%	RSAG - NO MATCH ON STREET NAME	58	96.67%	0.09%	2	3.33%	0.013%
9245	55	0.07%	98.53%	CORRECT ECCKT IS REQUIRED FOR LNA , LNUM	55	100.00%	0.09%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7945	51	0.07%	98.59%	RSAG SYSTEM ERROR	6	11.76%	0.01%	45	88.24%	0.296%
8177	50	0.06%	98.66%	USOC INVALID FOR THIS SWITCH. FORMAT SAE 183 I1 TTR	50	100.00%	0.08%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7315	47	0.06%	98.72%	CANNOT GENERATE BILLING NAME AND ADDRESS FIDS	42	89.36%	0.07%	5	10.64%	0.033%
9470	43	0.06%	98.77%	LOCATION QUANTITY DOES NOT EQUAL THE NUMBER OF END USER DETAIL RECORDS F	43	100.00%	0.07%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8183	41	0.05%	98.83%	AREA CALLING PLAN USOC MISMATCH. FORMAT 320 LINE UPP :0000000 / LINE ASSIGN :0	41	100.00%	0.07%	0	0.00%	0.000%

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES										
ERROR DETAILS (Auto Clarifications (A) & Errors (E) )				CAUSATION						
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ %	Error Description	CLEC Caused			BST Caused		
					Count	% of Agg	% of CLEC	Count	% of Agg	% of BST Caused
7300	40	0.05%	98.88%	UNE - CANNOT GENERATE CLASS OF SERVICE USOC	40	100.00%	0.06%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7360	38	0.05%	98.93%	LIFELINE SERVICE NOT AVAILABLE	13	34.21%	0.02%	25	65.79%	0.164%
7230	37	0.05%	98.98%	REFERENCE OF CALL OPTION NOT VALID FOR THIS ACCOUNT ACTIVITY TYPE	36	97.30%	0.06%	1	2.70%	0.007%
9015	36	0.05%	99.02%	SUP FAILED TO UPDATE DUE DATE	21	58.33%	0.03%	15	41.67%	0.099%
7445	34	0.04%	99.07%	UNE - CALL FORWARD TN REQUIRED	34	100.00%	0.05%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8199	34	0.04%	99.11%	GCJRC/GCJ COMBINATION INVALID. FORMAT SAE 560 11 GCJRC /TN /PKG VM-CSP-S98	34	100.00%	0.05%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8415	34	0.04%	99.16%	LSF LP ALREADY EXISTS ON ACCOUNT	34	100.00%	0.05%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9820	34	0.04%	99.20%	INVALID LINE ACTIVITY FOR SUSPENDED ACCOUNT	34	100.00%	0.05%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7295	33	0.04%	99.24%	LINE CLASS OF SERVICE MISSING. NUM AND TN REQUIRED	20	60.61%	0.03%	13	39.39%	0.086%
8180	31	0.04%	99.28%	CALL WAITING DELUXE USOC MUST CHANGE. FORMAT SAE 312	31	100.00%	0.05%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9479	28	0.04%	99.32%	LNUM=00001 FEATURE DOES NOT EXIST ON ACCOUNT TO MODIFY	28	100.00%	0.05%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9165	25	0.03%	99.35%	INVALID NPA NXX	25	100.00%	0.04%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7375	24	0.03%	99.38%	UNE - BOCABS SCREEN ERROR BOE001 ACCOUNT NUMBER NOT FOUND	24	100.00%	0.04%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9516	24	0.03%	99.41%	WSOP OF V AND ADL NOT ALLOWED ON SAME ATN	21	87.50%	0.03%	3	12.50%	0.020%
9543	24	0.03%	99.44%	LOCNUM= HNUM= HT= HT CANNOT BE IN MORE THAN ONE HID	24	100.00%	0.04%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9471	22	0.03%	99.47%	TOTAL QUANTITY OF VCA AND SCO SHOULD EQUAL IWJQ	21	95.45%	0.03%	1	4.55%	0.007%
9466	21	0.03%	99.50%	UNABLE TO DETERMINE BLOCK CHOICE	20	95.24%	0.03%	1	4.76%	0.007%
9616	21	0.03%	99.53%	YPH INVALID	21	100.00%	0.03%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9628	21	0.03%	99.56%	REQUEST DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR STAR 98 SERVICE	21	100.00%	0.03%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9000	20	0.03%	99.58%	LSO/LOCBAN (NPANXX) MISSING OR INVALID	19	95.00%	0.03%	1	5.00%	0.007%
7690	19	0.02%	99.61%	UNE - ACTL AND ENDUSER LSO MUST BE THE SAME FOR LOOP/LINP SERVICE	19	100.00%	0.03%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9476	17	0.02%	99.63%	IS NOT FOUND ON CSR TO DISCONNECT	17	100.00%	0.03%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7267	16	0.02%	99.65%	UNE - LOCBAN MISSING FOR LINP ORDER	16	100.00%	0.03%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8835	16	0.02%	99.67%	USOC/FID CANNOT BE DELETED FOR WORKING TN	16	100.00%	0.03%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9155	16	0.02%	99.69%	UNE - PORTED OUT NUMBER	16	100.00%	0.03%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9484	16	0.02%	99.71%	TNS= FOR LNUM=00001 ALREADY EXIST ON ATN=	15	93.75%	0.02%	1	6.25%	0.007%
7255	15	0.02%	99.73%	NUM= TELNO= DEGREE OR PROF AFFILIATION NOT ALLOWED ON RESIDENTIAL SERVICE	15	100.00%	0.02%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9606	14	0.02%	99.75%	TNS CANNOT BE REASSIGNED FOR 90 DAYS	14	100.00%	0.02%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9060	10	0.01%	99.76%	EU-STREET-1 REQUIRED	10	100.00%	0.02%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9495	10	0.01%	99.77%	EATN= MUST EXIST FOR ACT P AND Q	10	100.00%	0.02%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9523	10	0.01%	99.79%	LOCNUM=000 HNUM=00001 HT= MIXED NPA(S) ARE NOT ALLOWED FOR HUNTING IN THIS	9	90.00%	0.01%	1	10.00%	0.007%
7495	9	0.01%	99.80%	UNE - DIR LOCATOR PROBLEM	2	22.22%	0.00%	7	77.78%	0.046%
7880	9	0.01%	99.81%	RSAG - NO MATCH ON TELEPHONE NUMBER	4	44.44%	0.01%	5	55.56%	0.033%
8250	9	0.01%	99.82%	USOC= NOT APPLICABLE TO PORT LOOP SERVICE	9	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9772	9	0.01%	99.83%	UNE - ECCKT PROHIBITED WITH LINE ACTIVITY OF A	8	88.89%	0.01%	1	11.11%	0.007%
8995	8	0.01%	99.84%	SEMICOLON DISALLOWED WITH (+) SIGN IN PERSONAL NAME LISTINGS	8	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9517	7	0.01%	99.85%	UNDC INVALID IF PIC ALREADY EXISTS	7	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7095	6	0.01%	99.86%	INCORRECT RATE ZONE DATA RECEIVED FROM RSAG	3	50.00%	0.00%	3	50.00%	0.020%

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES										
ERROR DETAILS (Auto Clarifications (A) & Errors (E) )				CAUSATION						
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	I %	Error Description	CLEC Caused			BST Caused		
					Count	% of Agg	% of CLEC	Count	% of Agg	% of BST Caused
7570	6	0.01%	99.87%	SEQ1X NOT ALLOWED WITH ZNB	4	66.67%	0.01%	2	33.33%	0.013%
8945	6	0.01%	99.88%	LINECLSSVC AND TOS DO NOT MATCH	6	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7740	5	0.01%	99.88%	LOCAL CALLING PLUS INDICATOR NOT FOUND	4	80.00%	0.01%	1	20.00%	0.007%
8155	5	0.01%	99.89%	DIRECTORY DELIVERY INVALID WHEN ACT IS W	3	60.00%	0.00%	2	40.00%	0.013%
7850	4	0.01%	99.89%	RSAG - NEED ADDITIONAL ADDRESS OR TN	4	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7875	4	0.01%	99.90%	RSAG - NO MATCH ON HOUSE NUMBER OR AHN - ONLY NUMBERED RANGES	4	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8885	4	0.01%	99.91%	LINE ACT IS V AND LINE IS NOT ON CUSTOMER RECORD	3	75.00%	0.00%	1	25.00%	0.007%
9629	4	0.01%	99.91%	CALL FORWARDING FID (CFND) AND CFND TN REQUIRED BEHIND USOC S98AF	4	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9815	4	0.01%	99.92%	INVALID LINE ACTIVITY FOR DENIED ACCOUNT	4	100.00%	0.01%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7785	3	0.00%	99.92%	RSAG SITE TABLE LOOKUP FAILED TO FIND A MATCH	2	66.67%	0.00%	1	33.33%	0.007%
7935	3	0.00%	99.92%	RSAG-SIMILAR STREET FOUND IN DIFFERENT COMMUNITY AND/OR ZIP	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8185	3	0.00%	99.93%	ESC/ESCWT NOT VALID COMBINATION. FORMAT SAE 424 I1 ESCWT	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8193	3	0.00%	99.93%	USOC NOT VALID WITH CALLER ID. FORMAT SAE 473 I1 NXMCR /TN	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8980	3	0.00%	99.94%	LINE ACTIVITY INVALID	2	66.67%	0.00%	1	33.33%	0.007%
9110	3	0.00%	99.94%	TELNO= PIC REQUIRED PER UNIQUE TELEPHONE NUMBER ON A, V, P9 LINE ACTIVITY TY	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9115	3	0.00%	99.94%	TELNO= LPIC REQUIRED PER UNIQUE TELNO ON A, V, P9 LINE ACTIVITY TYPES	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9190	3	0.00%	99.95%	ZIP CODE IS NOT NUMERIC	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9263	3	0.00%	99.95%	NC CODE IS A REQUIRED FIELD FOR LOOP REQUESTS	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9432	3	0.00%	99.95%	DLNUM=0001 LTN= LTXTY OF CR REQUIRES SEE AS FIRST WORK IN LTEXT	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9438	3	0.00%	99.96%	DLNUM=0001 LTN= ACCOUNT ACTIVITY OF N CAN ONLY HAVE AN LACT OF N	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9618	3	0.00%	99.96%	EQUAL NUMBER OF COMPLETE CHOICE AND COMPLETE CHOICE CREDIT USOCs REQUI	3	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7100	2	0.00%	99.96%	PIC/LPIC IS REQUIRED ON INWARD ACTIVITY	0	0.00%	0.00%	2	100.00%	0.013%
7150	2	0.00%	99.97%	UNE - ERROR GENERATING ECCKT	0	0.00%	0.00%	2	100.00%	0.013%
8425	2	0.00%	99.97%	LSF OF DE INVALID ON ACT TYPE A OR V	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9005	2	0.00%	99.97%	LNECLSSVC NOT ALLOWED ON R ACCOUNT ACTIVITY	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9045	2	0.00%	99.98%	TYPE OF ORDER NOT DETERMINED - CLS SVC AND TOS BLANK OR MISSING	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9434	2	0.00%	99.98%	DLNUM=0001 LTN= HTN ACCOUNT DOES NOT HAVE AN EXACT MATCH WITH DL DATA	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9474	2	0.00%	99.98%	MINIMUM OF TWO DIFFERENT LEATNS/LEANS REQUIRED FOR LSR	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9487	2	0.00%	99.98%	INVALID ACT TYPE FOR FULL MIGRATION	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9600	2	0.00%	99.99%	TASKMATE ERROR INCORRECT SCREEN FORMAT ON HOST	1	50.00%	0.00%	1	50.00%	0.007%
9639	2	0.00%	99.99%	CATEGORY L USOC MUST APPEAR FOR SAME TN	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
9646	2	0.00%	99.99%	INVALID BAN FOR REQTP/COMPANY CODE COMBINATION OR INVALID COMPANY CODE	2	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
6056	1	0.00%	99.99%	REFNUM=0001 -INPT=D ONLY APPLICABLE TO LNP-ROUTED TO LEO IN ERROR	1	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
7755	1	0.00%	99.99%	UNE - NPANXX NOT FOUND IN CLLI TABLE	0	0.00%	0.00%	1	100.00%	0.007%
7870	1	0.00%	99.99%	RSAG - NO MATCH ON HOUSE NUMBER OR AHN - ONLY UNNUMBERED RANGES	1	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8130	1	0.00%	100.00%	CONVERSION SPECIFIED CAN ONLY BE USED ON RETAIL TO UNE SERVICE	1	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
8925	1	0.00%	100.00%	CFN HAS INVALID FORMAT ON COFFI SCREEN	0	0.00%	0.00%	1	100.00%	0.007%
9090	1	0.00%	100.00%	NUM= TELNO= LINE ACTIVITY MUST BE Y OR L WHEN ACCOUNT ACTIVITY IS SS OR RS	0	0.00%	0.00%	1	100.00%	0.007%

# ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES										
ERROR DETAILS (Auto Clarifications (A) & Errors (E) )				CAUSATION						
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ %	Error Description	CLEC Caused			BST Caused		
					Count	% of Agg	% of CLEC	Count	% of Agg	% of BST Caused
9125	1	0.00%	100.00%	NUM= -TELNO= TBE PROHIBITED ON THIS ACTIVITY FOR THIS REQTYPE	1	100.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.000%
	77100	100.00%			61898		100.00%	15202		100.000%

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES				
ERROR DETAILS (Fatal Errors)				
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ%	Error Description
1015	3837	30.979%	30.979%	PON DUPLICATE ON INITIAL LSR
2025	813	6.564%	37.542%	EU-ZIP CODE REQUIRED
1645	482	3.891%	41.434%	LSR/PON AGED OFF
1027	477	3.851%	45.285%	PREVIOUS LSR AGED OFF - (K) STATUS
1023	474	3.827%	49.112%	NO ORIGINAL LSR FOUND FOR THIS SUP
4055	445	3.593%	52.705%	DLNUM=&DLNM LTN=&LTN ALI MUST BE UNIQUE
1650	429	3.464%	56.168%	LSR/PON COMPLETED
1330	416	3.359%	59.527%	BAN1 MUST = E, N OR VALID BILLING ACCOUNT NUMBER FORMAT
4115	415	3.351%	62.877%	SIC REQUIRED WHEN FIRST CHARACTER OF TOS IS 1 OR 3
1640	362	2.923%	65.800%	NO ORIGINAL LSR FOUND FOR THIS SUP
1030	351	2.834%	68.634%	VER MUST BE GREATER THAN PREVIOUS VERSION
1655	347	2.802%	71.435%	LSR ORIGINATING FORMAT (TCIF) NOT SAME AS ORIGINATING FORMAT
1154	312	2.519%	73.954%	LSR/PON IS COMPLETED
4028	302	2.438%	76.393%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= COMMA OR SEMICOLON REQUIRED FOR RESIDENCE LISTING
1153	273	2.204%	78.597%	SUP NOT ALLOWED ON THIS ACCOUNT ACTIVITY TYPE
4029	177	1.429%	80.026%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= COMMA OR SEMICOLON REQUIRED FOR BUSINESS LISTING
4010	156	1.259%	81.285%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LIST REQUIRED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
1635	131	1.058%	82.343%	LSR ORIGINATING SOURCE NOT SAME AS PRIOR VERSION
4042	130	1.050%	83.393%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= ASTERISK OR PLUS SIGN INVALID FOR LA
3530	118	0.953%	84.345%	LOCNUM=000 LNUM=00001 TELNO= NPT REQUIRED WITH THIS REQTYPE LNA TYPE COMBINATION
1050	104	0.840%	85.185%	D/SENT - D/SENT CENTURY MUST BE CURRENT OR FUTURE DATE
2067	101	0.815%	86.000%	LOCBAN MUST BE 10 OR 13 ALPHANUMERICS
1007	96	0.775%	86.775%	DUPLICATE CC, PON, VER
3030	95	0.767%	87.542%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= TN MUST BE 10 NUMERICS
4052	95	0.767%	88.309%	YPH ENTRY MUST BE 999001 WHEN LISTING TYPE IS NL OR NP
4027	94	0.759%	89.068%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= ASTERISK OR PLUS SIGN INVALID FOR LN
3070	64	0.517%	89.585%	LPIC DATA REQUIRED PER UNIQUE TELNO ON A, V, P9 ACTIVITY TYPES
1012	60	0.484%	90.069%	CANNOT SUPP A PREVIOUSLY CANCELED LSR/PON
1085	55	0.444%	90.513%	DDDO-CC/DDDO MUST BE CURRENT OR FUTURE DATE
3060	55	0.444%	90.958%	PIC REQUIRED PER UNIQUE TELEPHONE NUMBER ON A, V, P9 LINE ACTIVITY TYPES
4050	52	0.420%	91.377%	INVALID YPH ENTRY
3075	51	0.412%	91.789%	VALID LPIC ENTRIES ARE AN LPIC CODE, NA OR NONE



## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES				
ERROR DETAILS (Fatal Errors)				
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ %	Error Description
1070	50	0.404%	92.193%	DDD/DDD-CC MUST BE CURRENT OR FUTURE DATE
1170	50	0.404%	92.596%	CHC REQUIRED WHEN REQTP IS A OR B AND DFDT IS POPULATED
3090	46	0.371%	92.968%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= TC OPT PROHIBITED ON THIS ACT TYPE AND REQTP
3185	46	0.371%	93.339%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= FEATURE REQUIRED WHEN THE FEATURE ACTIVITY IS POPULATED
1630	38	0.307%	93.646%	CANNOT SUP A PREVIOUSLY CANCELED LSR/PON
2120	35	0.283%	93.929%	EATN, EAN, ATN OR AN ARE PROHIBITED ON THIS REQTP/ACT CODE
3065	34	0.275%	94.203%	PIC VALID ENTRIES ARE PIC CODE OF 4 NUMERICS, NONE, DFLT, NA
4015	33	0.266%	94.470%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LIST MUST BE VALID ENTRY
3190	30	0.242%	94.712%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LNECLSSVC MUST BE = 3 OR 5 ALPHANUMERICS
3135	27	0.218%	94.930%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= TC PER-CC/TC PER-DATE REQUIRED WHEN TCTO-PRIMARY FIELD IS POPULATED
3175	25	0.202%	95.132%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= FA REQUIRED WHEN THE FEATURE FIELD IS POPULATED
1272	24	0.194%	95.325%	RPON VALID VALUES ARE UPPER CASE ALPHA A THRU Z, NUMERIC 0 THRU 9, AND SYMBOLS . , -
1664	24	0.194%	95.519%	SUP 03 NOT ALLOWED ON THIS ACCOUNT ACTIVITY TYPE
3085	24	0.194%	95.713%	TC OPT VALID ENTRIES ARE:00, 03, 05, 08, 21, 23, 25, 26, 31, 51, 81
2010	22	0.178%	95.891%	EU-CITY REQUIRED
1022	20	0.161%	96.052%	LSR ORIGINATING SOURCE NOT SAME AS PRIOR VERSION
3021	20	0.161%	96.213%	REFNUM=0002-TELNO= LNA MUST BE V OR W WHEN AN, ATN, EAN OR EATN IS POPULATED
3125	18	0.145%	96.359%	LOCNUM=000 LNUM=00001 TELNO= ECCKT FORMAT INVALID
1215	17	0.137%	96.496%	ACTL MUST BE 11 ALPHANUMERIC CHARACTERS
4000	17	0.137%	96.633%	DL DATA ELEMENTS REQUIRED
1025	16	0.129%	96.762%	VER MUST BE GREATER THAN PREVIOUS VERSION
1110	16	0.129%	96.892%	INVALID REQTP - ACCOUNT ACTIVITY TYPE COMBINATION
1230	16	0.129%	97.021%	LSO MUST BE 6 NUMERICS
4040	15	0.121%	97.142%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LISTED ADDRESS REQUIRED WITH THIS REQTP AND ACTIVITY TYPE
1080	14	0.113%	97.255%	DDD/DDD-CC MUST BE A VALID DATE
3260	13	0.105%	97.360%	LOCNUM=000 LNUM=00001 TELNO= JK CODE REQUIRED WHEN NIDR IS POPULATED WITH Y
4120	13	0.105%	97.465%	DLNUM=0001 LTN=10 TOA B, R, RP OR BP REQUIRED
3040	12	0.097%	97.562%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= OTN PROHIBITED WHEN LNA = A, D, W, Y, L, P9
3115	12	0.097%	97.659%	LOCNUM=000 LNUM=00001 TELNO= ECCKT IS PROHIBITED WITH REQTP/ACT/LNA COMBINATION
2065	11	0.089%	97.747%	LOCBAN REQUIRED
2090	10	0.081%	97.828%	FB-STATE REQUIRED IF FBI = D

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES				
ERROR DETAILS (Fatal Errors)				
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ %	Error Description
1017	3	0.024%	99.483%	PON VALID VALUES ARE UPPER CASE ALPHA A THRU Z, NUMERIC 0 THRU 9, AND SYMBOLS . , - '
1060	3	0.024%	99.508%	AN PROHIBITED WHEN ATN IS POPULATED UNLESS REQTP IS B
1220	3	0.024%	99.532%	LST MUST BE 11 ALPHA/NUMERIC CHARACTERS
1453	3	0.024%	99.556%	BAN1 REQUIRED WITH THIS REQTP/ACT TYPE COMBINATION
3015	3	0.024%	99.580%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LNA REQUIRED
4030	3	0.024%	99.604%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LISTED NAME PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4045	3	0.024%	99.629%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LISTED ADDRESS PROHIBITED WITH THIS RECTYP AND ACTIVITY TYPE
6050	3	0.024%	99.653%	REQTP/LOOP TYPE COMBINATION INVALID
7075	3	0.024%	99.677%	EATN, AN AND ATN ARE REQUIRED FOR REQTP B
1255	2	0.016%	99.693%	NC MUST BE 4 ALPHANUMERIC CHARACTERS WITH HYPHEN ALLOWED IN THE 3RD AND 4TH POSITIONS
2030	2	0.016%	99.709%	LCON-TELNO MUST BE A MINIMUM OF 10 NUMERICS
3460	2	0.016%	99.725%	LOCNUM=000 LNUM= TELNO= LNUM REQUIRED WITH THIS REQTP/LNA TYPE COMBINATION (STOP EDIT)
4310	2	0.016%	99.742%	DLNUM=0001 LTN= LANO PROHIBITED WITHOUT LASN
1032	1	0.008%	99.750%	VER MUST BE SPACES OR 00(ZEROS) FOR 850
1040	1	0.008%	99.758%	VER MUST BE SPACES OR ZEROES FOR 850
1150	1	0.008%	99.766%	SUP PROHIBITED WHEN 1ST CHARACTER OF REQTP FIELD CHANGES
1155	1	0.008%	99.774%	DFDT MUST BE POPULATED WITH A SINGLE (HHMM) TIME WHEN CHC IS Y
1200	1	0.008%	99.782%	SUP REQUIRED WHEN VER IS GREATER THAN 00
1205	1	0.008%	99.790%	DATED-CC/DATED REQUIRED WHEN AGAUTH FIELD IS POPULATED
1335	1	0.008%	99.798%	LSO REQUIRED WITH THIS REQTP/ACT TYPE COMBINATION
1445	1	0.008%	99.806%	INITIATOR TELEPHONE NUMBER REQUIRED
1450	1	0.008%	99.814%	INITIATOR TELEPHONE NUMBER MUST BE A MINIMUM OF 10 NUMERICS
2115	1	0.008%	99.822%	FBCON-TELNO MUST BE MINIMUM OF 10 NUMERICS
2355	1	0.008%	99.830%	ERL PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTP/ACT TYPE COMBINATION
3240	1	0.008%	99.839%	LOCNUM=000 LNUM=00001 TELNO= IWJQ REQUIRED WHEN IWJK IS POPULATED
3420	1	0.008%	99.847%	LOCNUM=000 LNUM=00001 TELNO= LNA MUST BE N, C, D, P, OR X IF ACT IS C
3485	1	0.008%	99.855%	LOCNUM=001 LNUM=00001 LOCNUM DOES NOT MATCH AN END USER LOCNUM FOR THIS LSR
3745	1	0.008%	99.863%	LNUM=00001 TELNO= PIC VALID ENTRIES ARE NONE, UNDC OR A VALID PIC CODE WHEN LNA IS G, N OR
4020	1	0.008%	99.871%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LIST PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4035	1	0.008%	99.879%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= LISTED NAME OVERFLOW PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4060	1	0.008%	99.887%	DLNUM=0001 LTN= VALID RTY REQUIRED

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES				
ERROR DETAILS (Fatal Errors)				
Error Type (by error code)	Count	%	Σ %	Error Description
4070	1	0.008%	99.895%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= YPQTY MUST BE 2 NUMERICS OR BLANKS
4075	1	0.008%	99.903%	MAIN LISTING REQUIRED
4077	1	0.008%	99.911%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= DDA-NAME2 PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4085	1	0.008%	99.919%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= DDA-ADDRESS 1 PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4090	1	0.008%	99.927%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= DDA-ADDRESS 2 PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4095	1	0.008%	99.935%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= DDA-CITY PROHIBITED FOR THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4100	1	0.008%	99.943%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= DDA-STATE PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4140	1	0.008%	99.952%	REFNUM=0001-TELNO= DIRDATE-CC/DIRDATE PROHIBITED WITH THIS REQTYPE AND ACTIVITY TYPE
4185	1	0.008%	99.960%	DLNUM=0002 LTN= DOI DATA INVALID WITH LTY 3
4385	1	0.008%	99.968%	DLNUM=0001 LTN= INVALID LAST ENTRY
5135	1	0.008%	99.976%	LOCNUM=000 HNUM=00001 HTSEQ=0005 SAME HT NOT ALLOWED IN MORE THAN ONE HTSEQ WHEN HLA IS N OR E
5153	1	0.008%	99.984%	LOCNUM=000 HNUM=00001 HT REQUIRED FOR THIS HA/HLA COMBINATION
6005	1	0.008%	99.992%	NC CODE INVALID
6048	1	0.008%	100.000%	COMPANY IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR XDSL/UCL
	12386	100.000%		

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES	
ERROR DETAILS - 8825	
Error Type (by error code)	Error Description
8825	ORDER ERR: SA LIST 023 LIN STREET NAME FOR SA NOT VALID FOR NPA NXX!
8825	ORDER ERR: LA LIST 013 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! ILA
8825	ORDER ERR: CS IDNT 011 LIN USOC FOLLOWING CS IS INCORRECT! OCS 1FR
8825	ORDER ERR: LN LIST 010 LIN RECAPPED LN, NLST OR NP MAY NOT APPEAR! ILN (LNR) CROS
8825	ORDER ERR: DSA IDNT 010 LI DSA PRESENT - NEED CATEGORY L USOC OR SMV USOC!
8825	ORDER ERR: TN SAE 038 LINE TN OR TLI IS REQUIRED FOR INWARD CATEGORY D USOC!
8825	ORDER ERR: PR SAE 010 LINE ZERO MUST NOT APPEAR AS FIRST CHARACTER! I1 UEAC2 /C
8825	ORDER ERR: PR SAE 010 LINE ZERO MUST NOT APPEAR AS FIRST CHARACTER! I1 UEAC2 /C
8825	ORDER ERR: PR SAE 010 LINE ZERO MUST NOT APPEAR AS FIRST CHARACTER! I1 UEAC2 /C
8825	ORDER ERR: ZLLU SAE 009 LI ZLLU MUST APPEAR!
8825	ORDER ERR: TYA BILL 008 LI TYA REQUIRED WITH SIC CODE OF 98XX
8825	ORDER ERR: LCON SAE 007 LI LCON FORMAT INCORRECT! IG2 CKL
8825	ORDER ERR: RCU SAE 009 LIN RCU CODESET INVALID! I1 1FR /TN
8825	ORDER ERR: LA LIST 013 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! ILA
8825	ORDER ERR: RNP SAE 006 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! I1 DRS /TN
8825	ORDER ERR: DSA IDNT 009 LI DSA MUST APPEAR IN IDNT!
8825	ORDER ERR: RNP SAE 006 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! I1 DRS /TN
8825	ORDER ERR: ZLLU SAE 009 LI ZLLU MUST APPEAR!
8825	ORDER ERR: PKG SAE 010 LIN PKG NOT VALID ON THIS USOC! T1 1FB /TN
8825	ORDER ERR: RCU SAE 009 LIN RCU CODESET INVALID! I1 14R /TN
8825	ORDER ERR: CFND SAE 016 LI SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! T1
8825	ORDER ERR: PKG SAE 010 LIN PKG NOT VALID ON THIS USOC! T1 1FB
8825	ORDER ERR: PIC SAE 012 LIN PIC MUST APPEAR ON I AND T ACTION CODED CATEGORY D USOC!
8825	ORDER ERR: PDN IDNT 008 LI PDN MISSING OR DATA INCORRECT!
8825	ORDER ERR: FORMAT SAE 389 I1 DRS /TN
8825	ORDER ERR: ZLLU SAE 009 LI ZLLU MUST APPEAR!
8825	ORDER ERR: NLST LIST 013 L SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! INLST(NON-LIST) INTERPRINT EQUI
8825	ORDER ERR: LN LIST 010 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! ILN
8825	ORDER ERR: RCU SAE 009 LIN RCU CODESET INVALID! I1 14R /
8825	ORDER ERR: PDN IDNT 008 LI PDN MISSING OR DATA INCORRECT!
8825	ORDER ERR: PDN IDNT 008 LI PDN MISSING OR DATA INCORRECT!
8825	ORDER ERR: PDN IDNT 008 LI PDN MISSING OR DATA INCORRECT!

## ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

## AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES

## ERROR DETAILS - 8825

Error Type (by error code)	Error Description
8825	ORDER ERR: PDN IDNT 008 LI PDN MISSING OR DATA INCORRECT!
8825	ORDER ERR: SS BILL 007 LIN SS DATA FORMAT INCORRECT! ISS
8825	ORDER ERR: SIC LIST 012 LI SIC CODE NOT ON BRIS SIC TABLE! ISIC 3047
8825	ORDER ERR: RESH BILL 023 L USOC BSX++ MAY NOT APPEAR!
8825	ORDER ERR: NP LIST 010 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! INP (NON-PUB)
8825	ORDER ERR: NP LIST 010 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! INP (NON-PUB)
8825	ORDER ERR: RNP SAE 006 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! I1
8825	ORDER ERR: LA LIST 013 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! ILA
8825	ORDER ERR: FORMAT 374 LINE EUCLC: 0001 RELAY: 0000=
8825	ORDER ERR: ADL SAE 010 LIN ADL MUST APPEAR! I1
8825	ORDER ERR: LOC LIST 019 LI INVALID LAST CHARACTER FOR LEVELS 1-3! ILOC LOT 4 DES (
8825	ORDER ERR: SA LIST 023 LIN STREET NAME FOR SA NOT VALID FOR NPA NXX!
8825	ORDER ERR: NP LIST 010 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! INP (NON-PUB)
8825	ORDER ERR: NP LIST 010 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! INP (NON-PUB)
8825	ORDER ERR: PR SAE 010 LINE ZERO MUST NOT APPEAR AS FIRST CHARACTER! I1 UEAC2 /C
8825	ORDER ERR: LCON SAE 007 LI LCON FORMAT INCORRECT! CKL
8825	ORDER ERR: LA LIST 013 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! ILA
8825	ORDER ERR: PDN IDNT 008 LI PDN MISSING OR DATA INCORRECT!
8825	ORDER ERR: ROUT LIST 007 L ROUT INVALID ON THIS ORDER!
8825	ORDER ERR: TYA BILL 008 LI TYA REQUIRED WITH SIC CODE OF 98XX
8825	ORDER ERR: PKG SAE 010 LIN PKG NOT VALID ON THIS USOC! T1
8825	ORDER ERR: RNP SAE 006 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! I1
8825	ORDER ERR: TCP TFC 007 LIN INVALID TCP DATE! TCP 06-13-00
8825	ORDER ERR: PDN IDNT 008 LI PDN MISSING OR DATA INCORRECT!
8825	ORDER ERR: DSA IDNT 009 LI DSA MUST APPEAR IN IDNT!
8825	ORDER ERR: RNP SAE 006 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! I1
8825	ORDER ERR: ADL SAE 010 LIN ADL MUST APPEAR! I1 1FR /TN
8825	ORDER ERR: PCA SAE 013 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! T1
8825	ORDER ERR: LA LIST 013 LIN SEE SOER DOCUMENTATION! ILA

# ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES	
ERROR DETAILS - 1000	
Error Type (by error code)	Error Description
1000	CLEARED ERR BY ISSUING ORDER MANUALLY
1000	CLEARED SYSTEM ERRORS OSCOL AND UEAMC
1000	CLEARED UP SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CLEARED ERROR FOR SYSTEM GENERATED ORDER#
1000	CORRECTED SYSTEM GENERATED ERRORS FOR ORDER#
1000	CLEANED UP SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CANCEL PER CLEC.
1000	PUT IN E STATUS TO DROP OFF-ORD CANCELLED BY CLEC
1000	CLEARED ALL SYSTEM ERRORS IN DUE DATE CHANGE BY SYSTEM TO 070700
1000	ORDERDD 06-27-00 WORKED TO CHG LISTING
1000	PLACED IN E-STAT SUP 1 ON VER 1 THANKS
1000	ERR PLACED IN E-STAT SUP 1
1000	ERR CLEARED-ORDER ISS TO PROVIDE 1 LOOP
1000	CORRECT SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CAN PER CLEC
1000	ERROR TO DROP, PON CANCELLED PER SUP 01
1000	EU NAME IS INCOMPLETE, PLS VERIFY AND RESUBMIT;
1000	CLEAN UP SYSTEM ERROR AND ADD SHELVES TO LOC FLR INFO
1000	CORRECTED SYSTEM ERRORS FOR ORDER#
1000	CORRECTED ERRORS ON ORDER BY REMOVING OCOSL & UEAMC WHICH SHOULD NOT BE ON LY-- REQUEST
1000	CLEARED ERROR FOR SYSTEM GENERATED ORDER, ORDER #
1000	ERROR TO DROP, UNABLE TO FORCE FOC ON C51RKDT0 CPX 06-08-00..
1000	ACCOUNT , SERVICE ORDER, DD 06-30-00
1000	ERROR TO DROP, UNABLE TO FORCE FOC ON
1000	CANCELLED ORDER PER SUP 1 LESOG
1000	CORRECT MAN CODE ON ROUTING ERROR MADE BY SYSTEM
1000	RECVD SUP 1 TO CANCEL
1000	CORRECT SYSTEM ERROS
1000	ERR PLACED IN E-STAT SUP 1 ON VER 1
1000	UPDATE TO CHANGE DUE DATE TO 6-27
1000	ERR PLACED IN E-STAT ORDER COMPLETED
1000	CLEARED ERR FOR ORDER # , PON#,

# ORDERING

REPORT: FLOWTHROUGH ERROR ANALYSIS  
REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

AGGREGATE ORDER TYPES	
ERROR DETAILS - 1000	
Error Type (by error code)	Error Description
1000	CORRECT SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CORRECT SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CLEARED ERROR FOR SYSTEM GENERATED ORDER #
1000	CLEARED ERROR
1000	CORRECT SVC ORDER BY REMOVING OCOSL & UEAMC-WHCH SHOULD NOT BE ON LY-- RQST
1000	CORRECT ERRORS
1000	CORRECTED SYSTEM GENERATED ORDERS, ORDER#
1000	CORRECTED SYSTEM GENERATED ORDER #
1000	SENT S STATUS REFERRAL FORM 06-20-00.
1000	ISS ORD C509GNJ6 DD 0703 ERR STAT 2 COR FOC-
1000	DD 2000-07-05
1000	ORDER CANCELLED
1000	CLAIMED IN ERROR
1000	ORDER PLACED IN ERROR BUCKET. RECORD ORD CPX B4 FOC WAS SENT.
1000	DD 06-14-00
1000	DD 07-06-00
1000	ORDER NY32B0F8 DOES NOT HAVE PON ON IT..
1000	DD 2000-07-05
1000	CORRECT SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CLEAR UP SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	ERR TO DROP OFF, ORD
1000	ERR CLEARED-ORDER ISS TO PROVIDE 1 LOOP
1000	CORRECT SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CORRECT SYSTEM PROBLEMS
1000	CLEARED UP SYSTEM ERRORS
1000	CLEARED ERRORS FROM ORDER TO FLOW THRU
1000	CLEAR SYSTEM ERRORS OCOSL AND DFDT
1000	CORRECT ON ODR NUMBER
1000	ORDER BY PLACING DFDT INFO IN PROPER PLACE AND REMOVING OCOSL (NOT VALID ON LY-ORDER)

REPORT: PERCENT LNP FLOW THROUGH SERVICE REQUESTS (SUMMARY)  
 REPORT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 10/31/2000

	ADJUSTED FLOW-THROUGH %
CLEC AGGREGATE	
REGION ALL SERVICES	45.66%